

# NANOMATERIALS FOR BIOCATALYSIS

Edited by  
Guillermo Raul Castro  
Ashok Kumar Nadda  
Tuan Anh Nguyen  
Xianghui Qi  
Ghulam Yasin



Micro & Nano Technologies Series

Elsevier

Radarweg 29, PO Box 211, 1000 AE Amsterdam, Netherlands  
The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford OX5 1GB, United Kingdom  
50 Hampshire Street, 5th Floor, Cambridge, MA 02139, United States

Copyright © 2022 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Details on how to seek permission, further information about the Publisher's permissions policies and our arrangements with organizations such as the Copyright Clearance Center and the Copyright Licensing Agency, can be found at our website: [www.elsevier.com/permissions](http://www.elsevier.com/permissions).

This book and the individual contributions contained in it are protected under copyright by the Publisher (other than as may be noted herein).

#### **Notices**

Knowledge and best practice in this field are constantly changing. As new research and experience broaden our understanding, changes in research methods, professional practices, or medical treatment may become necessary.

Practitioners and researchers must always rely on their own experience and knowledge in evaluating and using any information, methods, compounds, or experiments described herein. In using such information or methods they should be mindful of their own safety and the safety of others, including parties for whom they have a professional responsibility.

To the fullest extent of the law, neither the Publisher nor the authors, contributors, or editors, assume any liability for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions, or ideas contained in the material herein.

#### **British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data**

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

#### **Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

A catalog record for this book is available from the Library of Congress

ISBN: 978-0-12-824436-4

For Information on all Elsevier publications  
visit our website at <https://www.elsevier.com/books-and-journals>

*Publisher:* Matthew Deans

*Acquisitions Editor:* Simon Holt

*Editorial Project Manager:* Gabriela D. Capille

*Production Project Manager:* Prasanna Kalyanaraman

*Cover Designer:* Greg Harris

Typeset by MPS Limited, Chennai, India



# Contents

*List of contributors*

xix

## Section 1 Basic principles

<b>1. Nanobiocatalysis: an introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
Tanvi Sharma, Ashok Kumar Nadda, Guillermo Raul Castro, Tuan Anh Nguyen and Xianghui Qi	
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 Metallic nanomaterials	7
1.2.1 Metal nanomaterials	7
1.2.2 Metal-oxide based nanomaterials	8
1.3 Carbonaceous nanomaterial	9
1.3.1 Graphene and graphene oxide	9
1.3.2 Carbon nanotube	10
1.4 Other nanomaterials	10
1.5 Conclusion	11
Acknowledgment	11
References	11
<b>2. Enzyme immobilized nanomaterials</b>	<b>17</b>
Tripti Raghavendra and Sarita G. Bhat	
2.1 Introduction	17
2.2 Components of the "nano-enzyme conjugates"	26
2.2.1 Nanomaterial	26
2.2.2 Synthesis of nanoparticles	32
2.2.3 Functionalization of NPs	33
2.3 Enzymes immobilized on nanomaterials	34
2.3.1 Lipases	39
2.3.2 $\alpha$ -amylases	40
2.3.3 Glucose oxidase	40
2.3.4 Laccases	41
2.3.5 Multi enzyme systems	42
2.4 Characterization of enzyme-nano conjugates	42
2.4.1 Transmission Electron Microscopy and Scanning Electron Microscopy	43
2.4.2 Fourier transformation infrared spectroscopy	43
2.4.3 Energy dispersive X-ray	43

2.4.4	X-ray Diffraction	43
2.4.5	Thermogravimetric analysis	43
2.5	Applications of nano-enzyme bioconjugates	44
2.6	Conclusions and future perspectives	45
	Acknowledgments	46
	References	46
<b>3.</b>	<b>Electrochemical functionalization of carbon nanomaterials and their application in immobilization of enzymes</b>	<b>67</b>
	Andrés Felipe Quintero-Jaime, Diego Cazorla-Amorós and Emilia Morallón	
3.1	Introduction	67
3.2	Methods of enzyme immobilization	68
3.2.1	Physical methods	69
3.2.2	Chemical methods	72
3.3	Nanostructured carbon materials	74
3.4	Functionalization of nanostructured carbon materials for bioelectrocatalysis applications	76
3.4.1	Noncovalent functionalization	78
3.4.2	Covalent functionalization	80
3.5	Some selected examples of electrochemical functionalization and enzyme immobilization	85
3.6	Conclusions	90
	Acknowledgment	91
	References	91
<b>4.</b>	<b>Mechanisms of interaction among enzymes and supports</b>	<b>105</b>
	Enrico Camelin, Oscar Romero, Marco Piumetti, Carminna Ottone, Andrés Illanes and Debora Fino	
4.1	Introduction	105
4.2	Fundamental aspects of protein structure for enzyme-support interaction	107
4.2.1	The relationship between enzyme structure and function	107
4.2.2	Features and properties of the enzymes relevant for interaction with materials	108
4.2.3	Amino acids involved in enzyme immobilization	110
4.3	Porous materials as effective enzyme supports	115
4.3.1	Selection of the material	116
4.3.2	Addressing enzyme requirements tuning materials peculiarities	120
4.3.3	Support characterizations	123
4.4	Characterization of immobilized enzymes	125
4.4.1	Basic characterization: quantification, catalytic performances, and stability	125
4.4.2	Advanced characterizations: distribution, orientation, and conformational changes of immobilized enzymes	133
4.5	Conclusions	137
	References	138

<b>5. The impact of nanoparticles-based enzyme immobilization in biocatalysis</b>	<b>149</b>
Abhishek Sharma, Tanvi Sharma, Shweta Sharma, Deepak Kumar, Vijay Singh Gondil, Nancy Mehra, Aastha Khullar and Shamsher Singh Kanwar	
5.1 Introduction	149
5.2 Magnetic nanoparticles	151
5.3 Characteristic properties of magnetic nanoparticles	152
5.3.1 Large surface areas	152
5.3.2 Magnetic properties	152
5.3.3 Biocompatibility and nontoxicity	152
5.4 Structural chemistry of magnetic nanoparticles	153
5.5 Functionalization and stabilization of magnetic nanoparticles	153
5.5.1 Inorganic coating	154
5.5.2 Organic coating	155
5.6 Biocatalysis via enzyme immobilization on nanostructures	156
5.7 Applications of nanoparticles in enzyme technology and their industrial relevance	158
5.8 Conclusion and future directions	162
Acknowledgments	162
Conflict of interest	162
References	162
Further reading	168

## Section 2 Nanomaterials in biocatalysts

<b>6. Silica-based nanomaterials in biocatalysis</b>	<b>171</b>
Saadat Majeed, Rahat Nawaz, Tahir Rasheed and Muhammad Bilal	
6.1 Catalytic reactions and biocatalysts	171
6.1.1 Enzyme biocatalysis	172
6.1.2 Nanomaterials as biocatalysts	172
6.1.3 Silica-based nanomaterials	173
6.1.4 Synthesis and modification of silica nanomaterials	176
6.1.5 Applications of silica nanomaterials as biocatalyst	183
6.2 Conclusion	184
Acknowledgments	184
Declaration of interests	184
References	184
<b>7. Enzyme-metal nanobiohybrids in chemobiocatalytic cascade processes</b>	<b>189</b>
Marzia Marciello, Yurena Luengo Morato, Karina Ovejero Paredes, Laura Lozano Chamizo and Marco Filice	
7.1 Introduction	189

7.2	Synthesis of enzyme–metal hybrid catalysts	191
7.2.1	Coimmobilization of enzymes and metal nanoparticles on a supporting carrier	192
7.2.2	Biomimetic synthesis of enzyme-metal nanoparticles catalytic nanobiohybrids	196
7.3	Classification of enzyme–metal hybrid catalysts (E-MNPs) by metal nano particles	198
7.3.1	Gold nanoparticle-based enzyme hybrid	198
7.3.2	Palladium and platinum nanoparticle-based enzyme-metal hybrids	199
7.3.3	Other metals used for metal-enzyme hybrid catalysts	199
7.4	Application of enzyme-metal nanoparticle hybrid catalysts in cascade reactions	201
7.5	Conclusion	204
	Acknowledgments	204
	References	204
<b>8.</b>	<b>Nanostructured organic supports</b>	<b>211</b>
	Mailin Misson and Hu Zhang	
8.1	Introduction	211
8.2	Polymeric nanofibers	211
8.2.1	Fabrication of nanofibers using electrospinning	211
8.2.2	Immobilization of enzyme on the nanofiber surface	213
8.3	Polymeric nanoparticles	218
8.4	Polymeric nanogels	221
8.5	Polymeric micelles	223
8.6	Inorganic-organic hybrid nanostructures	225
8.6.1	Magnetic nanoparticles-polymers hybrid nanostructures	227
8.6.2	Other inorganic nanoparticles-polymers nanostructures	230
8.7	Conclusion	231
	References	232
<b>9.</b>	<b>Recent developments of iron-based nanosystems as enzyme-mimicking surrogates of interest in tumor microenvironment treatment</b>	<b>237</b>
	Javier Bonet-Aleta, Javier Calzada-Funes and Jose L. Hueso	
9.1	Introduction	237
9.2	Biocatalytic activity of Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>y</sub> nanomaterials	240
9.2.1	Catalase mechanism: natural enzymes versus Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles	242
9.2.2	Peroxidase mechanism: natural enzymes versus Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles	244
9.2.3	Comparison of catalase and peroxidase activity involving Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles	245
9.3	Role of Fe-based nanoparticles in tumor cell microenvironments: interaction with hydrogen peroxide and glutathione	248
9.3.1	Role of ionic Fe species in biological processes	248

9.3.2	Catalase biomimetic activity of Fe-based nanocatalysts against tumor hypoxia	251
9.3.3	Peroxidase-like biocatalytic activity of Fe nanosystem for enhanced reacting oxygen species production	252
9.4	New trends in nanozyme materials: single atom catalysts	254
9.5	Conclusions and outlook	257
	References	259
<b>10.</b>	<b>Metal organic frameworks for biocatalysis</b>	<b>267</b>
	Xiaoling Wu, Shuli Liu, Wen-Yong Lou, Jun Xiong, Min-Hua Zong and Muhammad Bilal	
10.1	Introduction	267
10.2	Synthesis of enzyme-metal-organic frameworks composites	269
10.2.1	Surface attachment	269
10.2.2	Covalent conjugation	275
10.2.3	Pore/channel infiltration	277
10.2.4	<i>In situ</i> encapsulation	282
10.3	Application of enzyme-metal-organic frameworks composites	287
10.3.1	Biological manufacturing	287
10.3.2	Environmental protection	288
10.3.3	Disease diagnosis and therapy	289
10.4	Summary and outlook	290
	References	291
<b>11.</b>	<b>Enzyme immobilization on magnetic nanoparticle supports for enhanced separation and recycling of catalysts</b>	<b>301</b>
	Elizabeth C.H.T. Lau and Humphrey H.P. Yiu	
11.1	Introduction	301
11.1.1	Covalent binding	303
11.1.2	Physical adsorption	303
11.1.3	Encapsulation	303
11.1.4	Crosslinking	304
11.2	Magnetic support materials for enzyme immobilization	304
11.2.1	Magnetism and magnetic materials	304
11.2.2	Iron oxide nanoparticles as magnetic supports	306
11.3	Immobilization methods on magnetic supports	309
11.4	Single enzyme systems	310
11.5	Multi-enzyme cascade systems	312
11.6	Cofactor-dependent systems	315
11.7	Conclusion and future outlooks	316
	References	316

<b>12. Polymers and metal – organic frameworks as supports in biocatalysis: applications and future trend</b>	<b>323</b>
Olga Sacco and Wanda Navarra	
12.1 Introduction to biocatalysis	323
12.2 Enzyme immobilization on polymers, biopolymers, and metal – organic frameworks	323
12.2.1 Enzyme immobilization techniques	325
12.3 Applications of immobilized biocatalyst	331
12.3.1 Biodiesel production	331
12.3.2 Synthesis of flavor ester	332
12.3.3 Pharmaceutical applications	333
12.3.4 Biosensors	334
12.3.5 Protein digestion and chemical degradation	335
12.4 Limitations and challenges in the application of organic materials as enzyme supports	335
References	336
<b>13. Carbon nanotubes/nanorods in biocatalysis</b>	<b>339</b>
Anna Chrobok and Anna Szelwicka	
13.1 Introduction	339
13.2 Carbon nanotubes in biocatalysis	341
13.3 Multi-walled carbon nanotubes in biocatalysis	344
13.4 Non-covalent immobilization of enzymes on multi-walled carbon nanotubes	349
13.5 Covalent immobilization of enzymes on multi-walled carbon nanotubes	356
13.6 Single-walled carbon nanotubes in biocatalysis	358
13.7 Hybrid materials based on carbon nanotubes in biocatalysis	360
13.8 Other carbon nanoshapes in biocatalysis	365
13.9 Conclusions	367
Acknowledgment	368
References	368
<b>14. Gold nanoparticles for biocatalysis</b>	<b>377</b>
Germán Plascencia-Villa and Rubén Mendoza-Cruz	
14.1 Introduction	377
14.2 Gold as catalyst for chemical and biochemical reactions	379
14.2.1 Gold nanoclusters as a catalyst	379
14.2.2 Gold nanoparticles in catalysis	382
14.2.3 Anisotropic gold particles in catalysis	384
14.3 Gold as support of biocatalytic compounds (enzymes)	391
14.3.1 Properties of gold nanoparticles	392
14.3.2 Enzyme immobilization onto gold nanoparticles	393
14.3.3 Enzyme-gold nanoparticles as biosensors	396



14.3.4	Enzymes in biofuel cells	398
14.4	Synthesis approaches of gold nanoparticles	400
14.4.1	Colloidal synthesis of gold nanoparticles	402
14.4.2	Synthesis methods and capping agents	404
14.5	Advanced techniques for the characterization of gold nanoparticles used as enzymes support	409
14.5.1	Transmission electron microscopy and scanning transmission electron microscopy	409
14.5.2	X-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy	412
14.5.3	Raman spectroscopy	413
14.5.4	Dynamic light scattering	415
	Acknowledgments	419
	References	419

## Section 3 Emerging applications

<b>15. Nanobiocatalyst for drug delivery</b>	<b>437</b>	
Ignacio Rivero Berti and Guillermo Raul Castro		
15.1	Introduction	437
15.2	Therapeutic enzymes	441
15.3	Strategies for therapeutic enzyme delivery	446
15.3.1	Stabilization of carrier-free enzymes	446
15.3.2	Enzyme stabilization with carriers	451
15.4	Nanocarriers for biocatalysis	453
15.4.1	Metal nanoparticles	454
15.4.2	Polymeric nanoparticles	455
15.4.3	Solid lipid carriers	457
15.4.4	Protein cages	457
15.4.5	Single-enzyme nanoparticles	458
15.5	Conclusions and future prospects	458
	Acknowledgments	459
	References	459
<b>16. Enzymatic biosensors for the detection of water pollutants</b>	<b>463</b>	
Eduardo C. Reynoso, Cynthia Romero-Guido, Georgette Rebolgar-Pérez and Eduardo Torres		
16.1	Introduction	463
16.2	Acetylcholinesterase-based biosensors	465
16.3	Electrochemical biosensors	471
16.4	Optical biosensors	474
16.5	Polyphenol oxidases-based biosensors	477

16.6	Biosensors based on zinc-oxide nanoparticles	481
16.7	Biosensors based on gold nanoparticles	483
16.8	Biosensor based on $\alpha$ -Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanocrystals	485
16.9	Biosensors based on polypyrrole nanotubes	485
16.10	Biosensors based on carbon nanomaterials	487
16.11	Biosensor based on poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)-iridium oxide	491
16.12	Peroxidase-based biosensors	492
16.13	General characteristics of peroxidases	493
16.14	Nanomaterial-peroxidase-based biosensors	494
16.15	Biosensors for H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> detection	494
16.16	Biosensors for phenolic and amine compounds detection	497
16.17	Biosensors for pesticides detection	499
16.18	Conclusion	505
	References	505
<b>17.</b>	<b>Biocatalytic nanomaterials as an alternative to peroxidase enzymes</b>	<b>513</b>
	Jaison Jeevanandam, Puja Patel, Kumar Ponnuchamy, Saikumar Manchala, Caleb Acquah and Michael K. Danquah	
17.1	Introduction	513
17.2	Overview of peroxidase enzyme	514
17.3	Peroxidase-like activity of nanoparticles	516
17.3.1	Nanosized metal particles	516
17.3.2	Nanosized metal oxide particles	517
17.3.3	Nanosized carbon-based particles	519
17.3.4	Nanosized polymeric particles	522
17.3.5	Nanosized composites	522
17.4	Applications of biocatalytic nanomaterials with peroxidase-like activity	523
17.4.1	Biosensor application	523
17.4.2	Wastewater treatment	527
17.4.3	Antibacterial agents	528
17.4.4	Other novel applications	529
17.5	Future perspective	531
17.6	Conclusion	531
	References	532
<b>18.</b>	<b>Lignin peroxidase—a robust tool for biocatalysis</b>	<b>543</b>
	Sofia Perveen, Sadia Noreen and Muhammad Bilal	
18.1	Introduction	543
18.2	Ligninolytic system of white-rot fungi	547
18.3	Lignocellulosic wastes	547

18.4	Production, purification, and characterization of lignin peroxidase	549
18.5	Enzyme immobilization—advantages and disadvantages	550
18.6	Selection of best immobilization carrier	550
18.7	Methods for enzyme immobilization	551
18.7.1	Physical adsorption	552
18.7.2	Covalent binding	552
18.7.3	Cross-linking	553
18.7.4	Entrapment	553
18.7.5	Microencapsulation	554
18.8	Selection of appropriate immobilization method	554
18.9	Natural polymers for enzyme immobilization	554
18.9.1	Alginate	555
18.9.2	Chitosan	555
18.9.3	Gelatin	556
18.10	Synthetic polymers as supports for enzyme immobilization	556
18.10.1	Nylon membrane	556
18.10.2	Polyvinyl alcohol	557
18.11	Industrial applications of lignin peroxidase	557
18.11.1	Dye decolorization	557
18.11.2	Delignification of plant biomass	558
18.12	Conclusion and future perspectives	559
18.13	Competing interests	560
	References	560

## **19. Laccases: catalytic and functional attributes for robust biocatalysis** **567**

Sadia Noreen, Sofia Perveen, Muhammad Bilal and Hafiz M.N. Iqbal

19.1	Introduction	567
19.2	Laccase and their general properties	569
19.3	Laccase structure and active site	570
19.4	Catalytic mechanism of laccase	571
19.5	Difference of laccase with other oxidases	573
19.6	Laccase substrates and inhibitors	573
19.7	Occurrence of laccase	574
19.7.1	Plant laccase	574
19.7.2	Bacterial laccase	574
19.7.3	Fungal laccases	575
19.8	Production of laccase	576
19.9	Purification and characterization of laccase	577
19.10	Immobilization of laccase	579
19.10.1	Adsorption	580
19.10.2	Entrapment	582

19.10.3	Covalent binding	583
19.10.4	Cross-linking of enzyme aggregates	585
19.11	Environmental bioremediation by laccase	586
19.12	Conclusion and directions	587
	References	588
	Further reading	594

## **20. Microbial *exo*-polygalacturonase—a versatile enzyme with multiindustrial applications** **595**

Faiza Amin, Syeda Anam Asad and Muhammad Bilal

20.1	Pectinases	595
20.2	Substrate for pectinase enzymes	595
20.2.1	Homogalacturonan	596
20.2.2	Rhamnogalacturonan I	596
20.2.3	Rhamnogalacturonan II	596
20.3	History of pectinases	597
20.4	Sources of pectinases	597
20.5	Structural topology of pectinase	598
20.6	Modern classification of pectinases	598
20.6.1	Glucosidases	599
20.6.2	Esterases	599
20.6.3	Pectin lyases	599
20.7	Production of <i>exo</i> -polygalacturonase	600
20.7.1	Solid-state fermentation and submerged state fermentation	600
20.7.2	Factors affecting solid-state fermentation (SSF) for polygalaturonase production	605
20.7.3	Solid-state fermentation versus submerged state fermentation	607
20.8	Biochemical characterization	608
20.8.1	Purification	608
20.8.2	Effect of pH	609
20.8.3	Metal ion effect	609
20.8.4	Carbon and nitrogen sources	609
20.8.5	Phenolic contents	610
20.8.6	pH and thermal stability profiles of pectinases	610
20.8.7	Viscosity, turbidity and juice yield	610
20.9	Industrial applications of pectinases	611
20.9.1	Juice and wine industry	611
20.9.2	Pulp and paper industry	612
20.9.3	Pectic wastewater pretreatment	612
20.9.4	Plant bast fibers degumming	612

20.9.5	Retting of plant fibers	613
20.9.6	Bioscouring of cotton fibers and textile processing	613
20.9.7	Coffee and tea fermentations	613
20.9.8	Plant disease control	614
20.9.9	Oil extraction	614
20.9.10	Pectinase and dietary fibers	614
20.9.11	Clarification and viscosity reduction of fruit juices	615
20.9.12	Phenolic contents	616
	Acknowledgments	616
	Declaration of interests	616
	References	616

## **21. Therapeutic applications** **623**

Facundo Rodriguez Ayala, Martin G. Bellino, Paolo N. Catalano and Martin F. Desimone

21.1	Introduction	623
21.2	Enzyme-immobilized nanomaterials	625
21.2.1	Enzyme-immobilized nanomaterials for antithrombotic therapy	626
21.2.2	Enzyme-immobilized nanomaterials for Gaucher's disease treatment	628
21.2.3	Enzyme-immobilized nanomaterials for the treatment of <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> infections in patients with cystic fibrosis	628
21.2.4	Enzyme-immobilized nanomaterials for phenylketonuria treatment	629
21.2.5	Enzyme-immobilized nanomaterials for neonatal jaundice treatment	629
21.2.6	Enzyme-immobilized nanomaterials for the treatment of bone regeneration and hypophosphatasia	630
21.3	Nanomaterials displaying enzyme-like activities	630
21.3.1	Iron-based nanozymes for therapy	632
21.3.2	Carbon-based nanozymes	633
21.3.3	Carbon-based nanozymes for therapy	634
21.4	Multifunctional nanozymes	635
21.4.1	Multifunctional nanozymes with antioxidant activity	635
21.4.2	Multifunctional nanozymes for neurodegenerative diseases treatment	638
21.4.3	Multifunctional nanozymes for antibacterial applications	639
21.4.4	Multifunctional nanozymes for hyperuricemia treatment	642
21.5	Single-atom catalysts	642
21.5.1	Carbon-supported single-atom catalysts	643
21.5.2	Single-atom catalysts for cancer treatment	643
21.5.3	Single-atom catalysts for antibacterial applications and wound healing	644
21.5.4	Single-atom catalysts as scavengers for oxidative stress cytoprotection	645
21.5.5	Single-atom catalysts for photodynamic therapy	646
21.6	New nanodevices for therapy: nanomotors based on gated enzyme-powered Janus nanoparticles	647

21.7	Concluding remarks	649
	Acknowledgments	649
	References	649
<b>22.</b>	<b>Nanosupport immobilized <math>\beta</math>-galactosidases, their stabilization, and applications</b>	<b>661</b>
	Azra Shafi, Maryam Khan and Qayyum Husain	
22.1	Introduction	661
22.2	Sources of $\beta$ -galactosidase	662
22.3	Immobilization of enzymes	663
22.3.1	Factors considered prior to enzyme immobilization	664
22.3.2	Factors influencing the biochemical activity of the immobilized enzyme	665
22.3.3	Nanoimmobilization	666
22.3.4	Methods of enzyme immobilization on nanomaterial	669
22.4	Applications of nanoimmobilized $\beta$ -galactosidase	677
22.4.1	Production of lactose free dairy products	677
22.4.2	Application in whey utilization	679
22.4.3	Biosensor applications	680
22.4.4	Food technology	680
22.4.5	Environmental remediation	680
22.4.6	Medical applications	681
22.4.7	Production of galctooligosaccharide	681
22.5	Conclusion and future outlook	681
	Acknowledgments	682
	References	682
<b>23.</b>	<b>Nanocarbon for bioelectronics and biosensing</b>	<b>689</b>
	Maliha Marzana, Md. Manirul Alam Khan, Abbas Ahmed, Mohammad Abdul Jalil and Md. Milon Hossain	
23.1	Introduction	689
23.2	Properties and biocompatibility of nanocarbon	690
23.2.1	Nanocarbon	690
23.2.2	Biocompatibility of nanocarbon	691
23.3	Nanocarbon for bioelectronic applications	693
23.3.1	Gene therapy and nanocarrier	693
23.4	Soft nanocarbon bioelectronics for precision therapy	695
23.5	Nanocarbon bioelectronics for tissue engineering	695
23.5.1	Graphene-based nanoelectronics for tissue engineering	696
23.6	Carbon nanotubes-based nanoelectronics for tissue engineering	697
23.7	Applications of nanocarbon-based biosensors	697

<b>23.7.1</b> Biophysical biosensor and monitoring	697
<b>23.8</b> Skin temperature monitoring	699
<b>23.9</b> Broad range human body movement monitoring	700
<b>23.10</b> Electrochemical biosensor	702
<b>23.10.1</b> Enzymatic biosensor	703
<b>23.10.2</b> DNA biosensor	704
<b>23.10.3</b> Protein biosensor	705
<b>23.10.4</b> Immunobiosensors	705
<b>23.11</b> Conclusion	705
References	706
 <i>Index</i>	 715

## List of contributors

**Caleb Acquah**

School of Nutrition Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

**Abbas Ahmed**

National Institute of Textile Engineering and Research, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh

**Faiza Amin**

Department of Chemistry, Government College Women University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Syeda Anam Asad**

Department of Chemistry, Government College Women University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Facundo Rodriguez Ayala**

Department of Micro y Nanotechnology, Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (CNEA-CONICET), San Martín, Argentina

**Martin G. Bellino**

Department of Micro y Nanotechnology, Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (CNEA-CONICET), San Martín, Argentina

**Ignacio Rivero Berti**

Nanobiomaterials Laboratory, CINDEFI, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Exact Sciences, National University of La Plata, (UNLP) - CONICET (CCT La Plata), La Plata, Argentina

**Sarita G. Bhat**

Department of Biotechnology, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Kalamassery, Kochi, India

**Muhammad Bilal**

School of Life Science and Food Engineering, Huaiyin Institute of Technology, Huaian, P.R. China

**Javier Bonet-Aleta**

Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Institute of Nanoscience and Materials of Aragon, Zaragoza, Spain; Networking Research Center on Bioengineering, Biomaterials and Nanomedicine, Madrid, Spain

**Javier Calzada-Funes**

Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Institute of Nanoscience and Materials of Aragon, Zaragoza, Spain; Networking Research Center on Bioengineering, Biomaterials and Nanomedicine, Madrid, Spain

**Enrico Camelin**

Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy



**Guillermo Raul Castro**

Nanobiomaterials Laboratory, CINDEFI, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Exact Sciences, National University of La Plata, (UNLP) – CONICET (CCT La Plata), La Plata, Argentina; Max Planck Laboratory for Structural Biology, Chemistry and Molecular Biophysics of Rosario (MPLbioR, UNR-MPIbpC), Partner Laboratory of the Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry (MPIbpC, MPG), Centro de Estudios Interdisciplinarios (CEI), Universidad Nacional de Rosario, Santa Fe, Argentina

**Paolo N. Catalano**

Department of Micro y Nanotechnology, Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (CNEA-CONICET), San Martín, Argentina; School of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Diego Cazorla-Amorós**

Department of Inorganic Chemistry and Institute of Materials (IUMA), University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain

**Laura Lozano Chamizo**

Nanobiotechnology for Life Sciences Lab, Department of Chemistry in Pharmaceutical Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM), Madrid, Spain

**Anna Chrobok**

Department of Chemical Organic Technology and Petrochemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Silesian University of Technology, Gliwice, Poland

**Michael K. Danquah**

Chemical Engineering Department, University of Tennessee, Chattanooga, TN, United States

**Martin F. Desimone**

School of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina; University of Buenos Aires, CONICET, Institute of Drug Chemistry and Metabolism (IQUIMEFA), School of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, Buenos Aires, Argentina

**Marco Filice**

Nanobiotechnology for Life Sciences Lab, Department of Chemistry in Pharmaceutical Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM), Madrid, Spain

**Debora Fino**

Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy

**Vijay Singh Gondil**

Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

**Md. Milon Hossain**

Department of Textile Engineering, Khulna University of Engineering and Technology, Khulna, Bangladesh; Department of Textile Engineering, Chemistry and Science, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, United States

**Jose L. Hueso**

Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Institute of Nanoscience and Materials of Aragon, Zaragoza, Spain; Networking Research Center on Bioengineering, Biomaterials and Nanomedicine, Madrid, Spain

**Qayyum Husain**

Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Life Sciences, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India

**Andrés Illanes**

School of Biochemical Engineering, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile

**Hafiz M.N. Iqbal**

Tecnologico de Monterrey, School of Engineering and Sciences, Monterrey, Mexico

**Mohammad Abdul Jalil**

Department of Textile Engineering, Khulna University of Engineering and Technology, Khulna, Bangladesh

**Jaison Jeevanandam**

Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Science, Curtin University, Miri, Malaysia

**Shamsher Singh Kanwar**

Department of Biotechnology, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, India

**Maryam Khan**

Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Life Sciences, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India

**Md. Manirul Alam Khan**

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, The University of Memphis, Memphis, TN, United States

**Aastha Khullar**

Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

**Deepak Kumar**

Department of Microbiology, DAV University, Jalandhar, India

**Elizabeth C.H.T. Lau**

Chemical Engineering, School of Engineering and Physical Sciences, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

**Shuli Liu**

School of Food Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, P.R. China

**Wen-Yong Lou**

School of Food Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangdong, P.R. China

**Saadat Majeed**

Institute of Chemical Sciences, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan

**Saikumar Manchala**

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, New Delhi, India

**Marzia Marciello**

Nanobiotechnology for Life Sciences Lab, Department of Chemistry in Pharmaceutical Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM), Madrid, Spain

**Maliha Marzana**

Department of Textile Engineering, Khulna University of Engineering and Technology, Khulna, Bangladesh

**Nancy Mehra**

Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India

**Rubén Mendoza-Cruz**

Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, Ciudad de México, México

**Mailin Misson**

Biotechnology Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia

**Emilia Morallón**

Department of Physical Chemistry and Institute of Materials (IUMA), University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain

**Yurena Luengo Morato**

Nanobiotechnology for Life Sciences Lab, Department of Chemistry in Pharmaceutical Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM), Madrid, Spain

**Ashok Kumar Nadda**

Department of Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Jaypee University of Information Technology, Waknaghat, India

**Wanda Navarra**

Department of Chemistry and Biology “A. Zambelli,” University of Salerno, Fisciano, Italy

**Rahat Nawaz**

Institute of Chemical Sciences, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan

**Tuan Anh Nguyen**

Institute for Tropical Technology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

**Sadia Noreen**

Department of Biochemistry, Government College Women University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Carminna Ottone**

School of Biochemical Engineering, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile

**Karina Ovejero Paredes**

Nanobiotechnology for Life Sciences Lab, Department of Chemistry in Pharmaceutical Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM), Madrid, Spain; Microscopy and Dynamic Imaging Unit, Fundación Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares Carlos III (CNIC-FSP), Madrid, Spain

**Puja Patel**

Department of Animal Health and Management, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, India

**Sofia Perveen**

Department of Biochemistry, Government College Women University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

**Marco Piumetti**

Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy

**Germán Plascencia-Villa**

Department of Biology, University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, United States

**Kumar Ponnuchamy**

Department of Animal Health and Management, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, India

**Xianghui Qi**

School of Food and Biological Engineering, Jiangsu University, Institute of Life Science, Zhenjiang, P.R. China

**Andrés Felipe Quintero-Jaime**

Department of Physical Chemistry and Institute of Materials (IUMA), University of Alicante, Alicante, Spain

**Tripti Raghavendra**

Department of Biotechnology, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Kalamassery, Kochi, India

**Tahir Rasheed**

Interdisciplinary Research Center for Advanced Materials, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

**Georgette Rebollar-Pérez**

Faculty of Chemical Engineering, Meritorious Autonomous University of Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

**Eduardo C. Reynoso**

Institute of Sciences, Meritorious Autonomous University of Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

**Oscar Romero**

School of Biochemical Engineering, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile; Department of Chemical Biological and Environmental Engineering, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, Spain

**Cynthia Romero-Guido**

Institute of Sciences, Meritorious Autonomous University of Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

**Olga Sacco**

Department of Chemistry and Biology “A. Zambelli,” University of Salerno, Fisciano, Italy

**Azra Shafi**

Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Life Sciences, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India

**Abhishek Sharma**

Department of Biotechnology, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, India

**Shweta Sharma**

Directorate of Mushroom Research, Chambaghat, India

**Tanvi Sharma**

Department of Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Jaypee University of Information Technology, Waknaghat, India

**Anna Szelwicka**

Department of Chemical Organic Technology and Petrochemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Silesian University of Technology, Gliwice, Poland

**Eduardo Torres**

Institute of Sciences, Meritorious Autonomous University of Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

**Xiaoling Wu**

School of Food Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangdong, P.R. China

**Jun Xiong**

School of Food Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangdong, P.R. China

**Humphrey H.P. Yiu**

Chemical Engineering, School of Engineering and Physical Sciences, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

**Hu Zhang**

Amgen Bioprocess Centre, Keck Graduate Centre, Claremont, CA, United States

**Min-Hua Zong**

School of Food Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangdong, P.R. China

## CHAPTER 1

# Nanobiocatalysis: an introduction

Tanvi Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Ashok Kumar Nadda<sup>1</sup>, Guillermo Raul Castro<sup>2,3</sup>, Tuan Anh Nguyen<sup>4</sup>  
and Xianghui Qi<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Jaypee University of Information Technology, Waknaghat, India

<sup>2</sup>Nanobiomaterials Laboratory, CINDEFI, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Exact Sciences, National University of La Plata, (UNLP) - CONICET (CCT La Plata), La Plata, Argentina

<sup>3</sup>Max Planck Laboratory for Structural Biology, Chemistry and Molecular Biophysics of Rosario (MPLbioR, UNR-MPIbpC), Partner Laboratory of the Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry (MPIbpC, MPG), Centro de Estudios Interdisciplinarios (CEI), Universidad Nacional de Rosario, Santa Fe, Argentina

<sup>4</sup>Institute for Tropical Technology, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

<sup>5</sup>School of Food and Biological Engineering, Jiangsu University, Institute of Life Science, Zhenjiang, P.R. China

### 1.1 Introduction

The most common enzymes are made of protein structure mostly used in the biocatalysis industry owing to their high specificity, activity, and selectivity under mild conditions (Garcia-Galan, Berenguer-Murcia, Fernandez-Lafuente, & Rodrigues, 2011; Kumar et al., 2019; Sharma, Sharma, Meena, Kumar, & Kanwar, 2018). Enzymes are highly efficient in their action and can increase the rate of reaction up to  $10^{19}$  folds and employed at low concentrations compared with chemical catalysts (Osbon & Kumar, 2019). In the last decades, there is a rise in the potential use of enzymes in fishery waste valorization, pharmaceutical research, food modification, agroindustry, biofuel production and laundry (Choi, Han, & Kim, 2015; Narancic, Davis, Nikodinovic-Runic, & OC, 2015; Sharma & Kumar, 2021; Sharma et al., 2019). In brief, enzymatic processes are cost-effective, ecofriendly, and more sustainable. However, applications of enzymes are limited by their low stability and the requirement of reusability properties when they are applied in industrial processes (Kumar, Wu, & Liu, 2018; Patel, Singh, & Kumar, 2017; Sharma, Meena, & Kanwar, 2018). Fortunately, in the last few years, immobilization becomes a powerful tool that allows increased industrial applications of enzymes in many fields. After immobilization, the biocatalyst is expected to tolerate harsh conditions including extreme pHs, high temperature, high ionic strengths, solvents with enhanced activity, and reusability (Kumar, Patel, & Mardan, 2018; Kumar, Gudiukaite, & Gricajeva, 2020; Sharma, Sharma, Kamyab, & Kumar, 2020). However, various methodologies for recovering enzymes from the reaction system are poorly developed. Hence, it is important to choose the enzyme proper matrix with robust, separable, and biocompatible features. Among the various carriers used for enzyme immobilization, magnetic nanoparticles could be simply segregated from the reaction mixture by the use of external magnets (Sharma, Verma, Kumar, & Kamyab, 2018).

With the continuous growth in nanotechnology, nanomaterials have been evolved and widely used for enzyme immobilization (Rahman, Culsum, Kumar, Gao, & Hu, 2016). The range of nanomaterials was established by considering quantum mechanics approach that ranges between 1 and 100 nm with exceptional electric, magnetic, optical, and structural properties. The living cells are “nanometric entities,” and the size of cell metabolic components exceeds several times 100 nm. Some nanomaterial occurs naturally, and some are engineered to perform specific functions and utilized at industrial level. The size of nanomaterial and their ability to engineer nanomaterial according to specific requirements offers various advantages compared to other traditional bulk materials used for enzyme immobilization (Indu, Mandal, & Dubey, 2020). The development of nanomaterial with exceptional features and functions comprises (1) addition of functional group on the nanomaterial surface for immobilizing enzyme, (2) creation of a special structure for facilitating substrates and products diffusion, increasing the surface area, reusing nanomaterial (3) improving thermal and mechanical stability of nanomaterial. Moreover, nanomaterials can be in the form of tubes, fibers, rods, or particles. Nanoscale materials such as nanotubes, nanofiber, nanowires, nanoparticles, and nanosheets have been reported for immobilization of several enzymes (Kumar, Park, & Patel, 2019; La, Truong, & Pham, 2020; Sharma et al., 2017; Yogeswaran & Chen, 2008). As emerging materials, efforts have been made to design nanomaterials with various components such as metal oxides ( $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$ ), noble metals (Au), polymers (i.e., polycaprolactone, polylactic glycolic acid, aldehyde-derived pluronic polymers) carbon-based (carbon nanotubes, carbon dots) and complex compounds such as ( $\text{Mn}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ ,  $\text{Cu}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{Cu}_4(\text{OH})_6\text{SO}_4$ ,  $\text{Ca}_8\text{H}_2(\text{PO}_4)_6$ , and  $\text{Co}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) (An, Li, & Zhang, 2020). In recent years, various nanomaterials reported for enzyme immobilization are summarized in Table 1.1.

Nowadays, nanomaterials have been broadly utilized in chemistry, medicine, environmental monitoring and remediation, pharmacy, and microelectronics. Advances in nanoscience develop various nanomaterials, and enzymes immobilization on these nanomaterials has been considered as a propitious method to increase enzyme performance. Generally, the enormous benefits of nanomaterials as immobilization matrices can be expected as their huge specific surface area/volume ratio, unique mechanical, physicochemical, and cost-effective features (Kumar, Kim, Patel, & Lee, 2018; Liu & Dong, 2020; Nadda & Kanwar, 2012; Rahman et al., 2016). It must be noted that it is crucial to control the matrix–biocatalyst interactions to know about the modifications that could be made and its possible applications. Nanomaterials can be expected to meet the necessities of the immobilization matrix, while some may not be appropriate for the immobilization of some enzymes, because of enzymes tend to aggregate displaying detrimental properties and/or just by denaturing the protein structure. Furthermore, the linking between the nanomaterial and enzyme has been reported by physical methods such as encapsulation, entrapment, absorption, and/or by chemical methods like covalent attachment, ionic bonds, hydrophobic interactions and

**Table 1.1** Various nanomaterials reported for enzyme immobilization.

Nanomaterial	Enzyme	Immobilization method	Immobilization yield (%)	Application	Reusability	Reference
Multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs)	Asparaginase	Adsorption	90.0	Food industry, biosensor	—	Cristóvão, Almeida, and Barros (2020)
Polyacrylic acid coated magnetic silica nanocomposite	Lipase	Covalent	—	<i>p</i> -NPP hydrolysis	5	Esmailnejad-Ahramjani, Kazemeini, Singh, and Arpanaei (2016)
Chitosan nanoparticles	Lysozyme	Ionic	—	Antimicrobial activity	8	Wang, Li, and Jin (2020)
Magnetic nanoparticles	Asparaginase	Covalent	—	L-asparagine hydrolysis	8	Orhan and Aktaş Uygün (2020)
Superparamagnetic few-layer graphene oxide	Lipase	Electrostatic	—	Biodiesel production	5	Nematian, Shakeri, Salehi, and Saboury (2020)
Hollow core-mesoporous shell silica nanospheres	Protease	Physical and covalent	75.6	Laundry detergent formulations	12	Ibrahim, Al-Salamah, and El-Toni (2016)
Gold nanoparticles	Horseradishperoxidase	Chemical and absorption	—	Biosensor	—	Luo, Xu, Zhang, Yang, and Chen (2005)
MWCNTs	Laccase	Covalent	76.7	Removal of diclofenac	7	Xu, Tang, Zhou, Li, and Zhang (2015)
Iron oxide nanoparticles	Glucosidase	Covalent	93.0	Biofuel production	16	Verma, Chaudhary, Tsuzuki, Barrow, and Puri (2013)
ZnO nanowires	Lipase	Adsorption	—	Esterification of phytosterols with oleic acid	12	Shang, Li, and Zhang (2015)
Chitosan-MWCNTs	Galactosidase	Covalent	95.0	Aroma enhancement of tea extract	10	Çelik, Dinçer, and Aydemir (2016)
MWCNTs	Laccase	Covalent	—	Dye decolorization	10	Othman Abdelmageed, González-Domínguez, Sanromán, Correa-Duarte, and Moldes (2016)
Graphene oxide	Horseradish peroxidase	Electrostatic	—	Phenolic compounds removal	7	Zhang, Zheng, and Zhang (2010)

(Continued)



**Table 1.1 (Continued)**

Nanomaterial	Enzyme	Immobilization method	Immobilization yield (%)	Application	Reusability	Reference
Amino-functionalized magnetic nanoparticles	Lipase	Covalent	62.0	<i>p</i> -NPB hydrolysis	11	Hu, Pan, Yu, Liu, and Xu (2009)
PEGylated graphene oxide nanosheets	Cellulase	Covalent	70.5	Saccharification of lignocellulose	3	Xu, Sheng, and Wang (2016)
Gold nanoparticles	Cholesterol oxidase	Covalent	–	Biosensor	–	Saxena, Chakraborty, and Goswami (2011)
Organic-inorganic nanocomposites	Laccase	–	75.3	Dichlorophenol removal	8	Qiu, Qin, Xu, Kang, and Hu (2019)
Molybdenum sulfide nanosheets	$\beta$ -amylase	Covalent	92.0	Industrial processes	10	Li, Nandgaonkar, and Wang (2017)
Polyethylenimine-modified superparamagnetic Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles	Lipase	Covalent and adsorption	91.7	Ethyl valerate synthesis	12	Khoobi et al. (2015)
Polymeric materials	Glucosidase	Entrapment	93.0	Cellobiose hydrolysis	6	Javed, Buthe, Rashid, and Wang (2016)
MWCNTs	Glucosidase	Adsorption	–	Lactose hydrolysis	6	Ansari, Satar, Kashif Zaidi, and Ahmad (2014)

combined or other more sophisticated methods. Moreover, the nanoporous materials provide an excellent surface area for the covalent binding or entrapment of biocatalyst, substrate and products diffusion through the matrix, drugs, and biomolecules but the major task is to make the desired structure with appropriate biocompatibility and surface properties. Nowadays, several attempts have been made for the synthesis of mesoporous materials and blend it with other molecules or structures so as to make a matrix having large surface area to volume ratio and suitable pore size (Szczęśniak, Choma, & Jaroniec, 2020). Furthermore, some basic parameters that should be considered during enzyme immobilization on nanomaterials are minimal enzyme deactivation, high immobilization yield, effective catalyst reutilization, high specific activity that keeps the selectivity (i.e., chemo-, stereo- and regio-), and recovered activity (Cipolatti, Valério, & Henriques, 2016; Rana, Sharma, Kumar, Kanwar, & Singh, 2020). In the present chapter, we provide an overview of various nanomaterials utilized in biocatalysis and their applications.

## 1.2 Metallic nanomaterials

Nanomaterials containing metals are commonly known as metallic nanomaterials. Hence, metallic nanomaterials have been generally utilized for fabricating nanobiocatalysts. Some metallic nanoparticles are also considered to be nontoxic and can be used in drug delivery, radiotherapy enhancement, biosensors, magnetic resonance imaging, and gene delivery (Ren et al., 2011; Yamada, Foote, & Prow, 2015). Since the 1970s, the utilization of magnetic particles has increased in the field of medicine and bioscience. Therefore the applications of metal and metal oxide nanomaterials in immobilizing the enzyme are discussed in this section.

### 1.2.1 Metal nanomaterials

The first category of nanomaterials made up of platinum, zinc, gold, silver, thallium, iron, and cerium are known as metal nanomaterials. Functional groups (e.g., amino, phosphate, carboxylate, thiolate) are added onto the metal nanomaterial to form a robust interfacial reaction with the biocatalyst, and subsequently to improve enzyme immobilization (Ghodake, Shinde, & Saratale, 2019; Yaqoob, Ahmad, & Parveen, 2020). Metal nanomaterials have been synthesized by numerous methods like coprecipitation, hydrothermal synthesis, thermal reduction, biosynthesis, and micelle synthesis (Misson, Zhang, & Jin, 2015). Among these nanomaterials, gold nanomaterials are mostly utilized for enzyme immobilization because of their good biocompatibility, porous network structure, large surface area, mechanical and thermal stability (Cipolatti et al., 2016). In catalysis, there is growing interest in immobilizing enzymes on gold nanomaterials, even though these are not considered as viable matrix due to economic issues for most of applications at industrial level. Previously,  $\alpha$ -amylase

immobilized on gold nanorods by hydrophobic and electrostatic binding was employed for starch processing and the immobilized  $\alpha$ -amylase exhibited improved thermal and storage stability (Homaei & Saberi, 2015). Saxena et al., (2011) functionalized gold nanoparticles using N-hydroxysuccinimide and N-ethyl-N'-(3-dimethylaminopropyl carbodiimide) for immobilization of cholesterol oxidase and successfully used the immobilized enzyme for detection of cholesterol in human serum sample. Moreover, the immobilization of various enzymes on nanoporous gold resulted in an enhanced enzyme stability and biocatalytic performance (Wang et al., 2011).

Furthermore, silver nanoparticles have been proved to be beneficial for medical applications because of exceptional antimicrobial effect against bacteria, viruses, and complex microbial structures such as biofilms (Yaqoob et al., 2020). Previously, lactose peroxidase was immobilized on silver nanoparticles showing enhanced antimicrobial activity as compared to free lactoperoxidase (Sheikh, Yasir, & Khan, 2018). The most known antimicrobial mechanism of metal nanoparticles is metal ion release, redox properties, and interference with molecular transport and metabolism, denaturing molecules and/or inhibiting central metabolism, etc., (Sangaonkar & Pawar, 2018). The antimicrobial activity of metallic nanoparticles could be because of their nanosize and high surface area to volume ratio, residual charges in surface which allows them to penetrate inside the bacterial membrane.

### 1.2.2 Metal-oxide based nanomaterials

Metal oxide nanomaterials including iron oxide, zinc oxide, and titanium oxide are mostly used to immobilize biocatalysts. With large surface area, high biocompatibility,  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanocarriers have been mostly utilized for immobilizing biocatalyst and in the various applications such as wastewater treatment, biosensor, and so on. The most common methods for synthesis of  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanomaterials are hydrothermal, direct oxidation, solvothermal, sol-gel, and chemical vapor decomposition methods (Liu & Dong, 2020). Lipase was immobilized on phenylaminopropyl trimethoxysilane functionalized mesoporous  $\text{TiO}_2$  by hydrophobic interactions and used for the synthesis of cinnamyl acetate. In addition, immobilized enzyme retained good operational stability after ten successive runs and showed improved thermal stability (Gao et al., 2018). Furthermore, horseradish peroxidase was immobilized on  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanotube coated with polydopamine for constructing a novel photoelectrochemical bio-sensing platform (PEC). The PEC exhibited good selectivity as well as sensitivity for the biosensing of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  (Li, Li, & Zhao, 2018).

In recent years, ZnO with different nanostructures are a promising matrix for enzyme immobilization, as they are nontoxic, biocompatible, chemically stable having high catalytic efficacy, strong absorption ability, and displays antimicrobial activity. The wet chemical route is mostly used to fabricate ZnO nanostructures, including nanorods, nanosheets and nanoparticles. The ZnO nanostructure shows high

isoelectric point with negatively charged surface in the reaction medium, and appropriate for electrostatic absorption of the biocatalyst. Ahmad et al., showed a new method for making glucose biosensor using glucose oxidase absorbed on a single ZnO nanofiber (Ahmad, Pan, Luo, & Zhu, 2010). The result showed that a single ZnO nanofiber is a good matrix for immobilizing the enzyme and could be utilized in numerous applications. On the other hand, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles are frequently utilized for the development of iron oxide magnetic nanoparticles, because they have a large surface area, less mass transfer resistance, and easy separation from the reaction medium (Orfanakis, Patila, & Catzikonstantinou, 2018). Previously, the lipase immobilized on polyethyleneimine functionalized super magnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> by absorption and covalent attachment was used for the synthesis of ethyl valerate. The study showed that immobilized enzyme retained 80% of original activity after 12 reaction cycles (Khoobi et al., 2015). Despite various reported methods for immobilization of the enzyme on a metallic nanomaterial, there is still demand for efficient and cost-effective methods.

### 1.3 Carbonaceous nanomaterial

Carbonaceous materials such as graphene, reduced graphene oxide, and carbon nanotubes (CNT) have been widely used for enzyme immobilization because of their superior benefits. These nanomaterials have engrossed wide attention in various applications (Table 1.1), including drug delivery, catalysis, bioremediation, and contaminant biotransformation (Anwar, Kim, & Kumar, 2017; Czepirski et al., 2016). With the recent development in nanotechnology, the role of carbonaceous materials will be more and more significant in the future.

#### 1.3.1 Graphene and graphene oxide

Graphene is one atom thick two-dimensional honeycomb crystal structure of carbon atoms, which seems to be an ideal matrix for immobilization owing to its large surface area ( $\sim 2630 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ ) that helps to enhance enzyme loading, strong mechanical strength increases the reusability of biocatalysts, and extraordinary thermal, optical and electrical features. Graphene-based nanomaterials mostly interact with enzymes by VanderWaals forces, hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions. In addition, graphene immobilized biocatalysts are mostly used in biosensors because of their exceptional electric conductivity (Ahmad et al., 2010). In a previous study, glucose oxidase was immobilized on polyvinylpyrrolidone-protected graphene for the creation of an electrochemical glucose biosensor. The biosensor exhibited a broader linearity range (2–14 mM), that ensured blood sugar detection (Shan et al., 2009). On the other hand, graphene oxide is the precursor of graphene, has been widely used for enzyme immobilization as they exhibit ultrahigh surface area, thermal and mechanical properties. Graphene oxide has oxygen-containing functional groups like carboxyl, hydroxyl,

and epoxy which are useful for enzyme immobilization (Compton & Nguyen, 2010). The three most popular methods reported for the synthesis of graphene oxide are Staudenmaier, Hummers and Brodie (Compton & Nguyen, 2010). Xu et al., (2016) covalently immobilized cellulase on graphene oxide nanosheets and used for saccharification of lignocellulose. After immobilization cellulase retained 70% of its original activity. Various authors reported that enzyme immobilized in graphene oxide has shown different operational stability, catalytic efficiency, and application potential.

### 1.3.2 Carbon nanotube

CNTs are one-dimensional hollow tubes made up of carbon atoms. CNTs are of two types of single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) with three isoforms and multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) that have been mostly used for enzyme immobilization. CNTs exhibit extraordinary electrical, thermal, and mechanical properties. Arc discharge, chemical vapor deposition and laser ablation methods are used for the synthesis of CNTs. CNTs show increasing application possibilities in enzymes immobilization, especially for the synthesis of biofuel cells and biosensors (Feng & Ji, 2011). Previously, laccase was immobilized on to MWCNTs for the biodegradation of diclofenac. The results of this study showed that immobilized laccase exhibits better storage stability, reusability and high biotransformation efficiency of diclofenac (Xu et al., 2015). Also, using the carbodiimide coupling technique, laccase was covalently immobilized on MWCNTs and used for dye decolorization. Immobilized laccase showed enhanced thermal stability and retained 95% of activity after 12 cycles of repetitive use (Othman Abdelmageed et al., 2016). Furthermore,  $\beta$ -glucosidase immobilized on MWCNTs and used for aroma enhancement of tea extracts. The immobilized enzyme showed better reusability, thermal and storage stability (Çelik et al., 2016). Moreover, to completely examine the potential of CNTs enzyme complex, it is important to find an optimum technique for enzyme immobilization.

### 1.4 Other nanomaterials

Besides the nanomaterials discussed here, other nanocarriers such as silica, cellulose, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), chitosan, and other bio-based nanomaterials have also been utilized for enzyme immobilization, some of them will be analyzed in detail in the following chapters. Moreover, silica can be modified using a silane blocking agent to add reactive groups including carboxyl, epoxy, thiol, and amino groups for immobilizing the biocatalyst. According to morphological and physicochemical features silica can be categorized into natural, micro, meso, macro, and synthetic material. Nowadays, mesoporous silica also known as nanosilica is mostly studied and has various benefits such as huge surface area ( $300\text{--}1500\text{ m}^2\text{ g}^{-1}$ ), uniform pore diameter ( $2\text{--}40\text{ nm}$ ), inert and stable at higher temperature (Zhou & Hartmann, 2013). Besides silica, chitosan and

cellulose-based nanomaterials are mostly combined with other materials for enzyme immobilization. Particularly, chitosan, a biopolymer obtained by partial deacetylation of chitin, and can be regarded as an attractive matrix for enzyme immobilization because of its low cost, biodegradability, and presence of functional groups (Tang, Qian, & Shi, 2007). Recently MOFs are getting attention because of their large pore volume, three-dimensional structure, and tremendous absorption ability. Some enzymes including lipase, catalase, and carbonic anhydrase have been successfully immobilized onto MOFs (Ren, Feng, & Wen, 2018). Integration of enzyme as guest species into MOFs would provide an artificial biocatalytic system promising for biosensing, biomedical devices, and biocatalysis (Sharma A. et al. 2020; Sharma T. et al., 2020).

## 1.5 Conclusion

Nanomaterial immobilized biocatalysts generally exhibit improved activity, stability, and reusability by creating a unique microenvironment. Although large-scale production of immobilized enzyme is a vital matter for their applications because of technical and economic issues. However, at the same time, it cannot deny that immobilization nanotechnology could makes enzymes commercially available in reactions where selectivity and purity of enzymes are important like in delicate processes of chemical precursor's synthesis, biomedicine, and pharma. With efforts from many academic fields like biochemistry, bioprocess engineering, molecular biology, and others, the production of immobilized enzyme reactors including microchannel, packed bed, and fluidized bed reactors could be successfully commercialized in the future.

## Acknowledgment

The financial support from the Jaypee University of Information Technology, Wanknaghat, Solan is thankfully acknowledged, which enabled me to undertake this study. Further, the authors have no conflict of interest either among themselves or with the parent institution.

## References

- Ahmad, M., Pan, C., Luo, Z., & Zhu, J. (2010). A single ZnO nanofiber-based highly sensitive amperometric glucose biosensor. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 114(20), 9308–9313. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp102505g>.
- An, J., Li, G. L., Zhang, Y., et al. (2020). Recent advances in enzyme-nanostructure biocatalysts with enhanced activity. *Catalysts*, 10, 338. Available from <https://doi.org/10.3390/catal10030338>.
- Ansari, S. A., Satar, R., Kashif Zaidi, S., & Ahmad, A. (2014). Immobilization of *Aspergillus oryzae*  $\beta$ -galactosidase on cellulose acetate-polymethylmethacrylate membrane and its application in hydrolysis of lactose from milk and whey. *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 2014, 163987. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/163987>.
- Anwar, M. Z., Kim, D. J., Kumar, A., et al. (2017). SnO<sub>2</sub> hollow nanotubes: A novel and efficient support matrix for enzyme immobilization. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 15333. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-15550-y>.

- Çelik, A., Dinçer, A., & Aydemir, T. (2016). Characterization of  $\beta$ -glucosidase immobilized on chitosan-multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTS) and their application on tea extracts for aroma enhancement. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, *89*, 406–414. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2016.05.008>.
- Choi, J. M., Han, S. S., & Kim, H. S. (2015). Industrial applications of enzyme biocatalysis: Current status and future aspects. *Biotechnology Advances*, *33*(7), 1443–1454. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2015.02.014>.
- Cipolatti, E. P., Valério, A., Henriques, R. O., et al. (2016). Nanomaterials for biocatalyst immobilization – State of the art and future trends. *RSC Advances*, *6*(106), 104675–104692. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RA22047A>.
- Compton, O. C., & Nguyen, S. T. (2010). Graphene oxide, highly reduced graphene oxide, and graphene: Versatile building blocks for carbon-based materials. *Small (Weinheim an der Bergstrasse, Germany)*, *6*(6), 711–723. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1002/sml.200901934>.
- Cristóvão, R. O., Almeida, M. R., Barros, M. A., et al. (2020). Development and characterization of a novel l-asparaginase/MWCNT nanobioconjugate. *RSC Advances*, *10*(52), 31205–31213. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0RA05534D>.
- Czepirski, L., Szczurowski, J., Balys, R., Makomaski, G., Zieliński, J., & Ciesińska, W. (2016). Novel carbonaceous nanomaterials from waste polymers. *Current Nanomaterials*, *1*, 103–109. Available from <https://doi.org/10.2174/2468187306666160725153932>.
- Esmailnejad-Ahranjani, P., Kazemeini, M., Singh, G., & Arpanaei, A. (2016). Study of molecular conformation and activity-related properties of lipase immobilized onto core-shell structured polyacrylic acid-coated magnetic silica nanocomposite particles. *Langmuir: The ACS journal of surfaces and colloids*, *32*(13), 3242–3252. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.5b03614>.
- Feng, W., & Ji, P. (2011). Enzymes immobilized on carbon nanotubes. *Biotechnology Advances*, *29*(6), 889–895. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv>.
- Gao, Z., Chu, J., Jiang, T., Xu, T., Wu, B., & He, B. (2018). Lipase immobilization on functionalized mesoporous TiO<sub>2</sub>: Specific adsorption, hyperactivation and application in cinnamyl acetate synthesis. *Process Biochemistry*, *64*, 152–159. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio>.
- García-Galan, C., Berenguer-Murcia, Á., Fernández-Lafuente, R., & Rodrigues, R. C. (2011). Potential of different enzyme immobilization strategies to improve enzyme performance. *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis*, *353*(16), 2885–2904. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201100534>.
- Ghodake, G., Shinde, S., Saratale, R. G., et al. (2019). Whey peptide-encapsulated silver nanoparticles as a colorimetric and spectrophotometric probe for palladium(II). *Microchimica Acta*, *186*(12), 763. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-019-3877-8>.
- Homaei, A., & Saberi, D. (2015). Immobilization of  $\alpha$ -amylase on gold nanorods: An ideal system for starch processing. *Process Biochemistry*, *50*(9), 1394–1399. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio>.
- Hu, B., Pan, J., Yu, H.-L., Liu, J.-W., & Xu, J.-H. (2009). Immobilization of *Serratia marcescens* lipase onto amino-functionalized magnetic nanoparticles for repeated use in enzymatic synthesis of Diltiazem intermediate. *Process Biochemistry*, *44*(9), 1019–1024. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio>.
- Ibrahim, A. S. S., Al-Salamah, A. A., El-Toni, A. M., et al. (2016). Enhancement of alkaline protease activity and stability via covalent immobilization onto hollow core-mesoporous shell silica nanospheres. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *17*(2), 184. Available from <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17020184>.
- Indu, Yadav A, Mandal M., Dubey K. (2020). Nanomaterial biosynthesis and enzyme immobilization. *Green synthesis of nanomaterials for bioenergy applications*, (pp. 191–209).
- Javed, M., Buthe, A., Rashid, M., & Wang, P. (2016). Cost-efficient entrapment of  $\beta$ -glucosidase in nanoscale latex and silicone polymeric thin films for use as stable biocatalysts. *Food Chemistry*, *190*, 1078–1085. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodche>.
- Khoobi, M., Motevalzadeh, S. F., Asadgol, Z., Forootanfar, H., Shafiee, A., & Faramarzi, M. A. (2015). Polyethyleneimine-modified superparamagnetic Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles for lipase immobilization: Characterization and application. *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, *149–150*, 77–86. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys>.

- Kumar, A., Gudiukaite, R., Gricajeva, A., et al. (2020). Microbial lipolytic enzymes – Promising energy-efficient biocatalysts in bioremediation. *Energy*, 192, 116674, 2020/02/01/. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116674>.
- Kumar, A., Kim, I. W., Patel, S. K. S., & Lee, J. K. (2018). Synthesis of protein-inorganic nanohybrids with improved catalytic properties using  $\text{Co}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$ . *Indian Journal of Microbiology*, 58(1), 100–104. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12088-017-0700-2>.
- Kumar, A., Park, G. D., Patel, S. K. S., et al. (2019).  $\text{SiO}_2$  microparticles with carbon nanotube-derived mesopores as an efficient support for enzyme immobilization. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 359, 1252–1264. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.11.052>.
- Kumar, A., Patel, S. K. S., Mardan, B., et al. (2018). Immobilization of xylanase using a protein-inorganic hybrid system. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 28(4), 638–644. Available from <https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1710.10037>.
- Kumar, A., Sharma, T., Mulla, S. I., Kamyab, H., Pant, D., & Sharma, S. (2019). Let's protect our Earth: Environmental challenges and implications. In A. Kumar, & S. Sharma (Eds.), *Microbes and enzymes in soil health and bioremediation* (pp. 1–10). Singapore: Springer.
- Kumar, A., Wu, G., & Liu, Z. (2018). Synthesis and characterization of cross linked enzyme aggregates of serine hydroxyl methyltransferase from *Idiomarina leihiensis*. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 117, 683–690, 2018/10/01/. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.04.106>.
- La, D. D., Truong, T., Pham, T., et al. (2020). Scalable fabrication of modified graphene nanoplatelets as an effective additive for engine lubricant oil. *Nanomaterials*, 10, 877. Available from <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano10050877>, 05/01.
- Li, G., Nandgaonkar, A. G., Wang, Q., et al. (2017). Laccase-immobilized bacterial cellulose/ $\text{TiO}_2$  functionalized composite membranes: Evaluation for photo- and bio-catalytic dye degradation. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 525, 89–98. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2017.07.029>.
- Li, J., Li, X., Zhao, Q., et al. (2018). Polydopamine-assisted decoration of  $\text{TiO}_2$  nanotube arrays with enzyme to construct a novel photoelectrochemical sensing platform. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 255, 133–139. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.04.039>.
- Liu, D.-M., & Dong, C. (2020). Recent advances in nano-carrier immobilized enzymes and their applications. *Process Biochemistry*, 92, 464–475. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2020.02.005>.
- Luo, X. L., Xu, J. J., Zhang, Q., Yang, G. J., & Chen, H. Y. (2005). Electrochemically deposited chitosan hydrogel for horseradish peroxidase immobilization through gold nanoparticles self-assembly. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 21(1), 190–196. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2004.07.029>.
- Misson, M., Zhang, H., & Jin, B. (2015). Nanobiocatalyst advancements and bioprocessing applications. *Journal of the Royal Society, Interface*, 12(102), 20140891. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2014.0891>.
- Nadda, A., & Kanwar, S. (2012). An innovative approach to immobilize lipase onto natural fiber and its application for the synthesis of 2-octyl ferulate in an organic medium. *Current Biotechnology*, 1, 240–248. Available from <https://doi.org/10.2174/2211550111201030241>.
- Narancic, T., Davis, R., Nikodinovic-Runic, J., & OC, K. E. (2015). Recent developments in biocatalysis beyond the laboratory. *Biotechnology Letters*, 37(5), 943–954. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-014-1762-4>.
- Nematian, T., Shakeri, A., Salehi, Z., & Saboury, A. A. (2020). Lipase immobilized on functionalized superparamagnetic few-layer graphene oxide as an efficient nanobiocatalyst for biodiesel production from *Chlorella vulgaris* bio-oil. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 13(1), 57. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-020-01688-x>.
- Orfanakis, G., Patila, M., Catzikonstantinou, A. V., et al. (2018). Hybrid nanomaterials of magnetic iron nanoparticles and graphene oxide as matrices for the immobilization of  $\beta$ -glucosidase: Synthesis, characterization, and biocatalytic properties. *Frontiers in Materials*, 5(25). Available from <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmats.2018.00025>.
- Orhan, H., & Aktaş Uygun, D. (2020). Immobilization of L-asparaginase on magnetic nanoparticles for cancer treatment. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 191(4), 1432–1443. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-020-03276-z>.
- Osbon Y., Kumar M. (2019). *Biocatalysis and strategies for enzyme improvement*.
- Othman Abdelmageed, M., González-Domínguez, E., Sanromán, Á., Correa-Duarte, M., & Moldes, D. (2016). Immobilization of laccase on functionalized multiwalled carbon nanotube membranes and



- application for dye decolorization. *RSC Advances*, 6(115), 114690–114697. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RA18283F>.
- Patel, S. K. S., Singh, R. K., Kumar, A., et al. (2017). Biological methanol production by immobilized *Methylocella tundrae* using simulated biohythane as a feed. *Bioresource Technology*, 241, 922–927, 2017/10/01/. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.05.160>.
- Qiu, X., Qin, J., Xu, M., Kang, L., & Hu, Y. (2019). Organic-inorganic nanocomposites fabricated via functional ionic liquid as the bridging agent for Laccase immobilization and its application in 2,4-dichlorophenol removal. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 179, 260–269. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2019.04.002>.
- Rahman, M. A., Culsum, U., Kumar, A., Gao, H., & Hu, N. (2016). Immobilization of a novel cold active esterase onto Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>~cellulose nano-composite enhances catalytic properties. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 87, 488–497. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac>.
- Rana, S., Sharma, A., Kumar, A., Kanwar, S. S., & Singh, M. (2020). Utility of silane-modified magnesium-based magnetic nanoparticles for efficient immobilization of *Bacillus thermoamylovorans* lipase. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 192, 1029–1043. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-020-03379-7>.
- Ren, S., Feng, Y., Wen, H., et al. (2018). Immobilized carbonic anhydrase on mesoporous cruciate flower-like metal organic framework for promoting CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 117, 189–198. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.05.173>.
- Ren, Y., Rivera, J. G., He, L., Kulkarni, H., Lee, D.-K., & Messersmith, P. B. (2011). Facile, high efficiency immobilization of lipase enzyme on magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles via a biomimetic coating. *BMC Biotechnology*, 11(1), 63. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6750-11-63>.
- Sangaonkar, G. M., & Pawar, K. D. (2018). *Garcinia indica* mediated biogenic synthesis of silver nanoparticles with antibacterial and antioxidant activities. *Colloids and Surfaces. B, Biointerfaces*, 164, 210–217. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2018.01.044>.
- Saxena, U., Chakraborty, M., & Goswami, P. (2011). Covalent immobilization of cholesterol oxidase on self-assembled gold nanoparticles for highly sensitive amperometric detection of cholesterol in real samples. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 26(6), 3037–3043. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios>.
- Shan, C., Yang, H., Song, J., Han, D., Ivaska, A., & Niu, L. (2009). Direct electrochemistry of glucose oxidase and biosensing for glucose based on graphene. *Analytical Chemistry*, 81(6), 2378–2382. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac802193c>.
- Shang, C.-Y., Li, W.-X., & Zhang, R.-F. (2015). Immobilization of *Candida rugosa* lipase on ZnO nanowires/macroporous silica composites for biocatalytic synthesis of phytosterol esters. *Materials Research Bulletin*, 68, 336–342. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.materresbull.2015.04.011>.
- Sharma, A., Kumar, A., Meena, K. R., Rana, S., Singh, M., & Kanwar, S. S. (2017). Fabrication and functionalization of magnesium nanoparticle for lipase immobilization in n-propyl gallate synthesis. *Journal of King Saud University - Science*, 29(4), 536–546. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus>.
- Sharma, A., Kumar, K., Sharma, T., Sharma, S., Meena, K., & Kanwar, S. S. (2020). Nanotechnology in molecular targeting, drug delivery and immobilization of enzyme. In V. Kumar, P. Guleria, N. Dasgupta, & S. Ranjan (Eds.), *Functionalized nanomaterials*. Taylor and Francis Group CRC press. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781351021623-19>.
- Sharma, T., Sharma, A., Sharma, S., Giri, A., Pant, D., & Kumar, A. (2020). Recent developments in CO<sub>2</sub> capture and conversion technologies. In A. Kumar, & S. Sharma (Eds.), *Chemo-biological systems for CO<sub>2</sub> utilization*. Taylor and Francis Group CRC press. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429317187-1>.
- Sharma, A., Meena, K. R., & Kanwar, S. S. (2018). Molecular characterization and bioinformatics studies of a lipase from *Bacillus thermoamylovorans* BHK67. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 107, 2131–2140, 2018/02/01/. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.10.092>.
- Sharma, A., Shadiya., Sharma, T., Kumar, R., Meena, K., & Kanwar, S. S. (2019). Biodiesel and the potential role of microbial lipases in its production. In P. K. Arora (Ed.), *Microbial technology for the welfare of society* (pp. 83–99). Singapore: Springer.
- Sharma, A., Sharma, T., Meena, K. R., Kumar, A., & Kanwar, S. S. (2018). High throughput synthesis of ethyl pyruvate by employing superparamagnetic iron nanoparticles-bound esterase. *Process Biochemistry*, 71, 109–117. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2018.05.004>.

- Sharma, S., Verma, A., Kumar, A., & Kamyab, H. (2018). Magnetic nano-Composites and their industrial applications. *Nano Hybrids and Composites*, 20, 149–172. Available from <https://doi.org/10.4028/http://www.scientific.net/NHC.20.149>.
- Sharma, T., & Kumar, A. (2021). Efficient reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> using a novel carbonic anhydrase producing *Corynebacterium flavescens*. *Environmental Engineering Research*, 26(3), 200191. Available from <https://doi.org/10.4491/eer.2020.191>.
- Sharma, T., Sharma, S., Kamyab, H., & Kumar, A. (2020). Energizing the CO<sub>2</sub> utilization by chemo-enzymatic approaches and potentiality of carbonic anhydrases: A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 247, 119138. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119138>.
- Sheikh, I., Yasir, M., Khan, I., et al. (2018). Lactoperoxidase immobilization on silver nanoparticles enhances its antimicrobial activity. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 85, 1–5. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029918000730>.
- Szczęśniak, B., Choma, J., & Jaroniec, M. (2020). Major advances in the development of ordered mesoporous materials. *Chemical Communications*, 56(57), 7836–7848. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CC02840A>.
- Tang, Z.-X., Qian, J.-Q., & Shi, L.-E. (2007). Characterizations of immobilized neutral lipase on chitosan nano-particles. *Materials Letters*, 61(1), 37–40. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2007.01.047>.
- Verma, M. L., Chaudhary, R., Tsuzuki, T., Barrow, C. J., & Puri, M. (2013). Immobilization of  $\beta$ -glucosidase on a magnetic nanoparticle improves thermostability: Application in cellobiose hydrolysis. *Bioresource Technology*, 135, 2–6. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.01.047>.
- Wang, X., Liu, X., Yan, X., Zhao, P., Ding, Y., & Xu, P. (2011). Enzyme-nanoporous gold biocomposite: Excellent biocatalyst with improved biocatalytic performance and stability. *PLoS One*, 6(9), e24207. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024207>.
- Wang, Y., Li, S., Jin, M., et al. (2020). Enhancing the thermo-stability and anti-bacterium activity of lysozyme by immobilization on chitosan nanoparticles. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21(5), 1635. Available from <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21051635>.
- Xu, J., Sheng, Z., Wang, X., et al. (2016). Enhancement in ionic liquid tolerance of cellulase immobilized on PEGylated graphene oxide nanosheets: Application in saccharification of lignocellulose. *Bioresource Technology*, 200, 1060–1064. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2015.10.070>.
- Xu, R., Tang, R., Zhou, Q., Li, F., & Zhang, B. (2015). Enhancement of catalytic activity of immobilized laccase for diclofenac biodegradation by carbon nanotubes. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 262, 88–95. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.09.072>.
- Yamada, M., Foote, M., & Prow, T. W. (2015). Therapeutic gold, silver, and platinum nanoparticles. *WIREs Nanomedicine and Nanobiotechnology*, 7(3), 428–445. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1002/wnan.1322>.
- Yaqoob, A. A., Ahmad, H., Parveen, T., et al. (2020). Recent advances in metal decorated nanomaterials and their various biological applications: A review. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 8(341). Available from <https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2020.00341>, 2020-May-19.
- Yogeswaran, U., & Chen, S.-M. (2008). A review on the electrochemical sensors and biosensors composed of nanowires as sensing material. *Sensors (Basel)*, 8(1), 290–313. Available from <https://doi.org/10.3390/s8010290>.
- Zhang, F., Zheng, B., Zhang, J., et al. (2010). Horseradish peroxidase immobilized on graphene oxide: Physical properties and applications in phenolic compound removal. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 114(18), 8469–8473. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp101073b>.
- Zhou, Z., & Hartmann, M. (2013). Progress in enzyme immobilization in ordered mesoporous materials and related applications. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 42(9), 3894–3912. Available from <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CS60059A>.