# DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF WATER TANK USING STAAD\_PRO V8i

A

PROJECT REPORT

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award

of the degree

of

## **BACHELOR OF TECHNOLOGY**

IN

#### **CIVIL ENGINEERING**

Under the supervision

of

# Dr. Rishi Rana

(Assistant Professor-SG)

by

Ayush Thakur (181631)

# Deepak Sharma (181623)



JAYPEE UNIVERSITY OF INFORMATION

#### TECHNOLOGY

WAKNAGHAT SOLAN-173234

HIMACHAL PRADESH INDIA

MAY,2022

# STUDENT DECLEARATION

I hereby declare that the work presented in the Project report entitled **"DESIGN OF WATER TANK USING STAAD\_PRO V8i"** submitted for partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Technology in Civil Engineering at **Jaypee University of Information Technology, Waknaghat** is an authentic record of my work carried out under the supervision of **Dr. Rishi Rana**. This work has not been submitted elsewhere for the reward of any other degree/diploma. I am fully responsible for the contents of my project report.

Signature of Students

Ayush Thakur (181631)

Department of Civil Engineering

Jaypee University of Information Technology, Waknaghat, India

Date 14, May 2022

Deepak Sharma (181623)

Department of Civil Engineering

Jaypee University of Information Technology, Waknaghat, India

Date 14, May 2022

# CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the work which is being presented in the project report titled **"DESIGN OF WATER TANK USING STAAD\_PRO V8i"** in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Technology in Civil Engineering submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering, **Jaypee University of Information Technology, Waknaghat** is an authentic record of work carried out by **Ayush Thakur(181631)**, **Deepak Sharma(181623)** during a period from August to December, 2021 under the supervision of **Dr. Rishi Rana** Department of Civil Engineering, Jaypee University of Information Technology, Waknaghat. The above statement made is correct to the best of our knowledge.

Date: 14, May 2022

Signature

Dr. Rishi Rana

Assistant Professor-SG

Department of Civil Engineering

JUIT, Waknaghat

Prof.(Dr.) Ashish Kumar Professor & Head of Department

Department of Civil Engineering

JUIT, Waknaghat

# ACKNOWDGEMENT

We would like to thank **Dr. Rishi Rana** for continuous support for our thesis study, for her patience, immense knowledge. Her guidance has helped us in all the time of this study and writing of this report. We could not have imagined having better advisor and mentor for my thesis study. We would also like to thank her for leading us her precious time when we gone to her.

Our special thanks are due to Prof.(Dr.) Ashish Kumar, Head of Civil Engineering Department, for all facilities provided; Last but not least we would like to thanks our parents, who taught us the value of hard work by their own example.

Date 14 May 2022

Ayush Thakur (181631) Deepak Sharma (181623)

# ABSTRACT

Due to the huge demand, water must be stored and supplied according to the needs of the people. Water consumption changes during the day. It varies from one hour to the next. To give a consistent volume of water, we need to store water. As a result, a water tank will need to be constructed to meet the public water demand.

A water tank is a structure used to hold water for the purposes of giving drinking water to people, cooling water to businesses, and irrigational water for agricultural crops in some locations. The shape and structural position of water tanks are used to classify them. We reviewed how to use Staad pro to create and analyse water tanks, both above and below ground, in rectangle, square, and circular shapes. The study's findings highlight the significance of shape element on design loads, as well as how tank shapes influence design, stress distribution, and overall cost.

Using STAAD PRO V8i, this project explains the idea behind the construction of a water tank (elevated intze water tank with domed roof).

**Keywords**: water tanks, structural design and analysis, loading applications, plates stress contouring's.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

### CONTENTS

### PAGE NO.

TITLE PAGE	Ι
STUDENT DECLERATION	п
CERTIFICATE	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	IV
ABSTRACT	$\mathbf{V}$
TABLE OF CONTENT	VI
LIST OF SYMBOLS	VII
LIST OF FIGURES	IX

# **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

1.1	General	1
1.2	Earthquake Design: Considerations & Introduction	2
1.3	Aims to the study	3
1.4	Types of water tank	4

#### **CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Literature Survey	7
2.2.1	Design of Tank in Perspective of Revision of IS: 3370	7
2.2.2	Optimum Diameter Of Tapered Elevated Rc Water Tank Staging	8
2.2.3	Ferrocement Floor And Roof SystemFor Buildings	9
2.2.4	Analysis Of Sloshing Impact On Overhead Liquid Storage Structures	10

2.2.5	5 Transient Analysis Of Elevated Intz	e Water Tankfluid- Soil System	11
-------	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	----

### **CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGIES**

3.1	Manually design	14
3.1.1	Design of Roof Dome	14
3.1.2	Design of cylindrical dome	15
3.1.3	Design of conical dome	16
3.1.4	Design of bottom circular ring	17
3.1.5	Design of the staging portion of the tank	18
3.2	STAAD Pro design	19
СНА	PTER 4 : RESULTS	41
СНА	PTER 4: CASE STUDY	42
4.1	Data	42
4.2	Section of tank	43
4.3	Specification of tank	44
СНА	PTER 6: CONCLUSION	45
REF	ERENCES	46

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

A is the total area of the sect ion.

Ab = Helicoidal reinforcement equivalent area

Ac = Area equivalent of sect ion

Asc = longitudinal reinforcement area (comp.) Ast = Steel Surface Area (tensile.) ac stands for concrete area. Compressive force = C D stands for depth. d = depth effective dc = compressive steel cover e stands for eccentricity. Compressive steel (dc/d) factor of depth stress = f (in general) fck is the concrete's typical compressive stress.

Fy is the steel's typical tensile strength.

H stands for height.

I stands for moment of inertia.

L=length.

l = effective column length, length, or bond length.

M stands for moment of bending. m stands for modular ratio.

n is the neutral axis depth.

R equals radius.

s = spacing between bars Vu is the ultimate shear force caused by the design load.

Shear reinforcement carries Vus=shear.

W stands for weight.

# LIST OF FIGURES

Description

Fig.

No.		Page No.
1.1	Determination of epicenters	2
1.2	Different Earthquake Zones of India	3
1.3	under ground tank	4
1.4	resting on ground tank	4
1.5	elevated tank	4
1.6	conical tank	4
1.7	spherical tank	4
3.1	model of water tank	12
3.2	Flow Chart	13
3.2.1	Geometry Design	22
3.2.2	End Properties	23
3.2.3	Plate Element	23
3.2.4	Selected Members	24
3.2.5	Combination and Load	25
3.2.6	Analysis and Print	26
3.2.7	Run Program	27
3.2.8	Bending Moment Diagram	28
3.2.9	Pressure on Plate	29
3.2.12	Final Structure	31

#### **1.1 GENERAL**

Water, liquid petroleum, and other liquids are stored in extra tanks and storage tanks. A tank is a liquid storage facility that can be found below or above ground level. Low-level tanks are designed to hold more water. The upper tanks are supported by a platform that also functions as a platform. These high water tanks are usually low-powered and meant to transport water directly through gravity. It's also important to make sure that essential services like water delivery are not disrupted during an earthquake and that they continue to function thereafter. In such conditions, high tanks can be a very important instrument for water delivery and fire defence.

Import-free concrete is critical for constructing a concrete structure to store water and other liquids. The water cement ratio determines the permeability of any homogenous and fully compacted concrete of a given mix ratio.

Water input increases as the amount of water and cement used increases. It may be beneficial to limit the amount of water cement to reduce access, but a very low level of water cement may produce difficulties and be dangerous..

To avoid encroachment, the combination should be done with a vibrator. To reduce cracks, a cement content of 330 kg/m to 530 kg/m is recommended.

The liquid storage structure should be built with the goal of minimising cracks while keeping in mind the concrete's high strength.

Avoiding the use of thick wood shuttering, which prevents the free departure of hydration heat from the concrete pile, can help prevent cracks. By eliminating impediments to free expansion or shortening the structure, cracking can be reduced.

#### **1.2 Earthquake Design: Considerations and Introduction**

An earthquake is a natural occurrence caused by the sudden release of energy deposited in the crust, which causes earthquakes to occur. Earthquakes can be caused on the ground by earthquakes or movement, and sometimes by tsunamis, resulting in death and property destruction. Any earthquake event, whether natural or man-made, is referred to as an earthquake. Seismic waves are produced by humans.



Fig 1.1 Determination of epicenters (source 14)

Different earthquake zones exist in India. India is divided into five zones, according to the IS 1893: 1984 Code. However, the IS 1893: 2002 Code divides the country into five zones: Zone 2, Zone 3, Zone 4, and Zone 5. The first location is no longer used.



Fig 1.2.Different Earthquake Zones of India (source 14)

# 1.3 Need of The Study

The purpose of this project is to learn about the structure of high-water-storage tanks.

The top water tank is discussed in length in this function.

We want to use the STAAD Pro programme to construct a high-rise Intze water tank using the stress method and then read the results.



Fig 1.3 Under Ground Water Tank



Fig 1.5 Elevated Water Tank

Fig 1.4 Resting on Ground Tank



Fig 1.6 Conical Flask Water Tank

#### 2.1 Introduction :

Tank construction has undergone extensive research in order to make them more efficient, cost-effective, and long-lasting. Some work is being done to see how different materials can be used by different people in different parts of the world. The basis for testing is the use of various materials and your size. As a result, that wise book review must suffice.

#### 2.2 Literature Survey

#### 2.2.1 Design of Intze Tank in Perspective of Revision of

#### **IS: 3370**

#### By, Pavan S. Ekbote and Dr. Jagadish .G. Kori (2013):

During an earthquake, high water tanks can cause significant damage or collapse. This could be due to a lack of understanding of the behaviour and dynamic action of the water tank support system, as well as an inappropriate selection of tank stage geometric designs.

Due to the interaction of the liquid structure, the seismic behaviour of high water tanks is a feature of intricate occurrences. The main aim of the research was to determine how a support system (or platform) that works better under a different SAP 2000 software response system operates.

#### By, R.V.R.K.Prasad and Akshaya B.Kamdi (2012):

High water tanks are used to store water. BIS amended IS 3370 (parts 1 & 2) in 2009, some years after the initial 1965 edition. This new code was built with a fluid storage tank in mind. In this study, the boundary condition technique must be used in the construction of the water tank.. The idea behind the construction of a circular water tank using WSM and LSM is presented in this paper. Water tank design with LSM is very cost effective since the equipment

required is little compared to WSM. Because the water tank is such an important container for holding water, the crack width of the water tank must also be calculated.

#### **By, IITK-GSDMA (2014):**

IS 1893: 1984 has a very limited stock of earthquake-resistant water tanks. These rules apply exclusively to high-water tanks; low-water tanks are not included. The impact of the sloshing vibration effect is not considered in IS 1893: 1984, even in high water tanks. Furthermore, the standards of IS 1893: 1984 have numerous limitations as compared to current international practise in seismic tank design. As a result, one discovers that India now lacks the appropriate level of design for earthquake-resistant water tanks. The existing recommendations are created to assist the designers of a water tank design project due to the lack of the Standard in the construction of an earthquake tank. These Guidelines are written in a format that is quite similar to the IS code, and the BIS may adopt it as IS 1893 in the future (Part 2). O. R. Jaiswal and colleagues (2006), The requirements of the 10 seismic codes on water tanks are reviewed and compared in this study article. This analysis demonstrates that the design of seismic stresses in various water tanks differs significantly between these codes. The reasons for this discrepancy are investigated thoroughly, and the importance of an integrated seismic design for liquid storage tanks is emphasised.

# 2.2.2 OPTIMUM DIAMETER OF TAPERED ELEVATED RC WATER TANK STAGING:

#### By, Chirag N. Patel, H. S. Patel (2015) :

IS 1893: 1984 has a very limited stock of earthquake-resistant water tanks. These rules apply exclusively to high-water tanks; low-water tanks are not included. The impact of the sloshing vibration effect is not considered in IS 1893: 1984, even in high water tanks. Moreover, the standards of IS 1893: 1984 have several limitations as compared to current international practise in seismic tank design. As a result, one finds that India now has the appropriate level of design for earthquake-resistant water tanks. The current guidelines are designed to assist the designers of a water tank design project due to the lack of the Standard in the construction of an earthquake tank. These Guidelines are written in a format that is quite similar to the IS code, and the BIS may adopt it as IS 1893 in the future (Part 2). O. R. Jaiswal and colleagues (2006), The regulations of the 10 seismic codes on water tanks are reviewed and contrasted in this study article. This analysis demonstrates that the design of seismic stresses in various water tanks differs significantly between these codes. The reasons for this discrepancy are investigated thoroughly, and the importance of an integrated seismic design for liquid storage tanks is emphasised. According to the 6  $^{\circ}$ inclination, the stage size required to meet the 'No Differences in Column' criteria is 70% and 80% of the stage for the container container frame and shaft stage types, respectively.

# 2.2.3 FERROCEMENT FLOOR AND ROOF SYSTEM FOR BUILDINGS :

#### By, Dr. T.S. Thandavamoorty and S.Durairaj (2016) :

Pre-sprayed with 1: 2 cement mortar and cured for 7 days, a ferro cement floor panel with a clear angle of 900 mm X 600 mm was installed. After that, it was sorted into the loading frame and put through a series of tests until it failed. The last load supported by a panel was 85 kN. Two layers of chicken match were used to make the Test Machine Program. As previously stated, the model is composed of cement mortar 1: 2 and reinforcement mesh. The full sample was given a 7-day treatment. In the upload frame, the template was sorted. The weight is delivered upwardly, and the readings of each dial are recorded as the force increases. The load was raised until the panel gave out.

As a result, the most recent load measured 85 kN. This load is still distributed evenly throughout the panel, averaging 78.7 kN/m2. The minimum live load prescribed by IS 875 part 2 is only 2 kN/m2. It is reasonable, practicable, and feasible to contemplate this Ferro Cement panel

# 2.2.4 ANALYSIS OF SLOSHING IMPACT ON OVERHEAD LIQUID STORAGE STRUCTURES:

#### By: P. MUTHU VIJAY & AMAR PRAKASH (2017) :

The effects of breakdown in surplus liquid storage tanks are investigated in this paper. Because the building's bulk is concentrated on a small supporting structure, it is sensitive to horizontal pressures, such as earthquakes. The transformation of the Intzee-type water tank into dynamic forces was investigated using commercial software for both measurement and statistical analysis. The relevance of addressing the influence of the slip during design and determining the parameters of the seismic analysis design. A high-level Intzee water tank is analysed and constructed here. The study was carried out using STAAD Pro for two conditions: full tank position with solely hydrostatic effects and full tank position with sloshing impact. The analysis shows that in earthquake-prone areas, taking into account the influence of sloshing and the effect of hydrodynamic pressure on the tank container's wall during design is critical. The analyses' findings are addressed in light of the structure's value during an earthquake.

# 2.2.5TRANSIENT ANALYSIS OF ELEVATED INTZEE WATER TANKFLUID- SOIL SYSTEM:

**Housner (2013)** Calculates the fast and evaporation fluid volume and its location above the base of the tank, as well as the convective weight of the spring, using a mild weightless model and enhanced statistics. For active construction, only one convective mass is usually considered.

**Haroun and Housner (2014)** developed a three-volume model that considers tank flexibility only.

**Ibrahim et al. (2015)** Introduced a comprehensive overview of sloshing flexibility, which includes both direct and non-linear analysis, with emphasis on cylindrical and rectangular thighs.

#### Karamanos et al. (2016) and Patkas and Karamanos (2017)

An improved mathematical model for calculating the effects of direct sloshing on the flexible response of horizontal and circular cylinder containers during earthquake regeneration has been developed..

**Livaoglur. R. and Dogangun A. (2016)** The seismic behaviour of the foundation of a foundation-propelled fluid-based tank construction with a structural framework that supports the liquid-containing tank was explored. Six different earthquake-related soil types were taken into account. The analysis included both the declining effects of fluid interactions and the soil composition of the high tanks lying on these six distinct soils.

**Karamanos et al. (2016)** Karamanos et al. (2016) developed a technique for the immersion analysis of immobile vessels in which seismic forces can be measured based on the "convective-impulsive" separation of a liquid vessel and a solution for the immersion analysis of immobile vessels in which seismic forces can be measured. The effects of the support structure's flexibility are also taken into account.

**Livaoglu et al. (2017)** They provide simplified earthquake analysis methods for high tanks that take into account the interaction of fluid, composition, and soil. Mechanical modelling approaches and a limited feature are used to analyse ten different models. The effectiveness of these 10 models in earthquake-proofing elevated tanks with four distinct subsoils is highlighted.

Sezen et al. (2018) A simple threemass model was used to do dynamic analysis. The activity of long-distance earthquake tanks damaged by the Kocaeli earthquake in Turkey in 1999 was investigated..

**Dutta et al. (2019)** The dynamic features of high RC tanks supported by a cylindrical shaft platform are deeply analyzed. A controlled trial test with limited testing validated the findings.

Amani et al. (2016) Using a water-based feature technique, study examined at resonant waves in a highly comparable RC-filled circular container and tested the results. The high-volume round tanks' horizontal base movement and free vibration, as well as their total flexibility, contain water at various levels. Consider how three distinct weight motions are necessary in a round tank: translation (structure), sloshing (vascular), and pipeline movement. As a result, in analysis, three degrees of freedom are required.

**Muslim, M. et al. (2014)** The seismic response of a liquid-filled tank was presented, as well as the difficulties of modelling conical-shaped tanks. Defluid elements are used to model the fluid base. The proposed tank's history as well as its modal analysis.

**Chaduvula, U. et al. (2017)** A 1:4 scale model of a water cylinder metal cylinder was used in the experiment to increase the vibration angle (acceleration) and vibration motions of 0.1g and 0.2g. Rapid bases and base

grow with increasing seismic acceleration, whereas convective base shear and base moment increase with increasing seismic acceleration but decrease with increasing angular force, according to the research. As a result, the movement observed owing to a shortage of water has a minor impact. The structure causes non-linearity since the tank pressure lowers as the tank moves.

### 3.1Manual design

# 3.2 STAAD Pro design



Fig 3.2 : Flow Chart of Steps Followed In Staad-Pro

# 3.1 Manually design of tank:

Design of an intz ee tank for a capacity of 675000 liters. Assuming height of tank floor above the G.L. is 24.67 m. Safe bearing capacity of soil 200 km/m2 Assuming M25 concrete For which  $g_{cbc} = 8.5$  N/mm2,  $g_{cc} = 6$  N/mm2 Direct tension gt = 1.3 N/mm2 Tension in bending = 1.8 N/mm2 Modular ratio m = 13 For Steel stress, Tensile stress in direct tension =115 N/mm2 Tensile stress in bending on liquid face =115 N/mm2 for t < 225 mm And 125 N/mm2 for > 225 mm.



Fig 3.1 model of water tank

### **Solution :**

For the percentage indicated in Fig. D = 12 m, the volume is 0.585 D3.

Figure 3 depicts the Tank's dimensions. 1.1 Roof Dome Design:

Assume a dome slab thickness of 100 mm = 0.1 m.

Dome self-weight =  $0.1 \times 1 \times 24 = 2.4 \text{ kn/m2}$ 

1.5 kN/m2 live load = 0.10 kn/m2 Finishes

4 kn/m2 TOTAL LOAD

R= dome radius D= tank diameter = 12mr = central rise = 1.67 m



#### Fig 3.2 : central rise of roof dome

Rtop = 11.61 m Rtop = (R2+r2)/2r

 $51.8^{\circ}$  Sin = 6/11.61

As a result, there is no strain.

T = (p x Rtop) / (1 + cos) Meridional thrust

25.102 kn/m T

Circumferential Forces = p x Rtop (cos -  $1/(1+\cos)$ ) = 15.14 kn/m Circumferential Forces = p x Rtop (cos -  $1/(1+\cos)$ )

(T x 1000) / (100 x 1000) = 0.25 N/mm2 6 N/mm2 Meridional stress SAFE

15.14 x 1000 / (100 x 1000) = 0.1514 N/mm2 6 N/mm2 Hoop Stress SAFE

The stress levels are within the acceptable range.

However, provide a minimum of 0.3 percent reinforcement in each direction.

Ast = 300 mm2 = (0.3 / 100) x 100 x 1000

Use an 8 mm bar.

50.2 mm2 = A = 3.14 x 82 / 4

Hoop bar spacing =  $50.2 \times 1000 / 300 = 167.33 \text{ mm}$ 

As a result, give an 8 mm bar at 167.33 mm c/c.

### 3.1.2 DESIGN OF CYLLINDRICAL TANK

The tank wall may be believed to be free on top and bottom because the dome roof was designed on membrane. Maximum hoop tension occurs at the base of the wall.

10 kn/m2 = W = weight of water

W x h x D / 2 = 10 x 4 x 12 / 2 = 240 kn per metre height

The needed ring area is 240000 / 150 = 1600 mm2 or 800 mm2 on both sides.

To resist hoop stress at a distance of 2 metres from the top

 $2\times1600$  / 4=800 mm2 ash

A = 78.5 mm2 when using a 10 mm bar

$$196.25 \text{ mm} = 1000 \ 78.5 \ / \ (800 \ / \ 2)$$

As a result, from top 0 to 2 m, supply 10 mm bar @ 196.25 mm c/c spacing

in both directions.

At 4 metres from the summit, resist the hoop tension

1600 mm2 = 4 x 1600 / 4 =

A = 200 mm2 when using 16 mm bars

1000x200/(1600/2) = 250 mm spacing of 16 mm bars

As a result, at 4 m from the top, give 16 mm bar @ 250 mm spacing.

Actual Ast =  $2 \times 1000 \times 200 \text{ mm} 2$  Actual Ast = 1600 mm 2

#### **3.1.3 DESIGN OF THE CONICAL DOME:**

The average diameter of the conical dome is (12+5)/2 = 8.5 metres,

and the average depth of the water is (4+4) / 2 = 4 metres.

The volume of water above the conical dome is equal to

3.14 x 8.5 x 4 x 4 x 10 = 4270.4 m3.

 $3.14 \times 8x (2 \times (12 - 5/2)2)$  Self/weight of 600 mm thick slab

6169.01 kg =  $0.5 \times 0.6 \ge 24$ 

10439.41 kN total conical load

v2 = 10439.41 / (3.14 x 4) = 831.16 kn/m load / unit length

T = v2 x cosec = 1608.64 kn

Meridional thrust 1608.64 x 1000 / (600 x 1000) = 2.681 N/mm2 5 N/mm2

#### SAFE Meridional stress

Because hoop tension is directly proportional to the diameter of the conical dome section, the maximum hoop tension will be found at the top of the conical dome in this section. P = 8.5 x 4 = 34 kn/m2 q = 600 x 24 / 1000 = 14.4 kn/m2 = 450 D = 12 mHoop tension = (p x cosec + q x cot) x D /2

As a result, hoop tension = 374.04 kn Whole oh, which steel must resist.

249.33 mm2 = As = 375000 / 150

Each face has a surface area of 2493.33/ 2 = 1246.66 mm2.Using 25 mm  $\phi$  bars

 $A = 3.14 \text{ x } 25^2/4 = 490.62 \text{ mm}^2$ 

Spacing of bars = 1000 x 490.62 / 1246.6 = 393.54 mm

As a result, each face should have 25 mm bars at 393.54 mm c/con.

 $2\times1000$  x 490.62 /

393.54 = 2493.36 mm2 Actual Ast

 $0.20 \times 600 / (100 \times 1000) = 1200 \text{ mm} 2 \text{ Ast at bottom}$ 

Half of it should be placed near each face = 600 mm2.

 $A = 3.14 \times 102 / 4 = 78.5 \text{ mm2}$  when using 10 mm bars

10 mm bar spacing =  $1000 \times 78.5 / 600 = 130.83 \text{ m}$ 

As a result, offer 10 mm bars at 130.83 mm c/c.

Maximum tensile stress =  $(374.04 \times 1000 \times 1000) / ((600 \times 1000) + (13 \times 2493.3))$ 

= 0.0192 N/mm2 SAFE

### **3.1.4 DESIGN OF THE BOTTOM CIRCULAR RING:**

Horizontal force on the ring beam = T1  $\cos = 831.16 \times \cos 450 = 587.71 \text{ kn/m}$ 

 $4 \times 587.71 / 2 = 1175.42$  kn hoop compression in ring

Assume the ring is 500 x 1000 mm in size.

2.350 N/mm2 6 N/mm2 Hoop tension = (1175.42 x 1000) / (500 x 1000) SAFE

T1 sin = 415.57 kn/m vertical strain on ring

Self/beam ring weight =  $0.5 \times 1 \times 24 = 12 \text{ kn/m}$ 

427.57 kn/m total load

W = 3.14 x 4 x 427.57 W = 5370.27 kn Total design load on ring girder =

W = 3.14 x 4 x 427.57

Now, circular girder is support on 18 no. of column using moment co efficient which is given in below

No. of support	Negative bending	Positive bending	Maximum twisting
	moment at	moment at	moment or
	support K <sub>1</sub>	center of span	torque K <sub>3</sub>
		K <sub>2</sub>	
18	0.0037	0.0014	0.0017

0.0037 x W x R = 49.67kn.m Maximum negative Bending Moment on Support

At mid-span, maximum positive B.M = 0.0014 x W x R = 18.79 kn.m

0.0017 x W x R = 22.82 kn.m maximum torsional moment

V =WR3.14/(4 x 2) = 5270.019 kn Self/weight at support section V

# 3.1.5 DESIGN OF STAGING PORTION OF THE WATER

## TANK:

The tank is supported by 18 columns that are arranged symmetrically over a rectangular size 2502 x 250 mm.

The staging is 15 metres above ground level.

Assume that the bracing height is 3 metres.

As a result, give 5 panels with a height of 3 metres

#### Load in column

5270.019 / 18 = 292.77 kn vertical load in each column

Each column's self/weight =  $0.25 \times 0.25 \times 15 \times 24 = 22.5 \text{ kn}$ 

0.25 x 0.25 x 5 x 3.14 x 24 = 23.55 kn bracing weight

388.82 kN total vertical load on each column

#### **Reinforcement in column**

Using 18 32 mm bars at 300 mm c/c, Ast= 18 x 3.14 x 322 / 4= 14469.12 mm2

Ac= 250 x 250 + (1.5 x 13 x 14469.12) = 344647.84 mm2

 $(2504 / 12) + 14469.12 \times 13 \times (250 - 50) =$  Moment of Inertia 7.849 x 104 mm4 = 2

Now, the comparable MI for the entire section =  $7.849 \times 104 / 2 = 3.92 \times 104 \text{ mm4}$ 

# **3.2 Design in STAADPro:**

# Step 1: geometry design

Put all nodal and connect beam



Fig 3.2.1 : Geometry Design

This is the structural image of awater tank without any load or support.

## **Step 2: Define an end property**

In this step we have to define the end properties with prpers supports



**Fig 3.2.2 : End Properties** 

# **Step 3: material**

Defining the material properties like young's Modulus, shear Modulus, Critical damping etc.

Plate Element Thickness			Ŋ
	Node 1:	0.2	m
	Node 2:	0.2	m
+/+	Node 3:	0.2	m
t1 21	Node 4:	0.2	m
Material CONCRETE			
Change Ass	sign	Close	Help

### **Step 4: Defining the properties and select all the members**

In this we have to define the properties like which one is the coloum which one is the beam and what type of loads are acting on that like Dead load, Live load, Wind load, Earthquake load, Self weight etc.



Fig 3.2.4 : Selected Members

# **Step 5: Combination and Loads**

In this step we are going to assign the combinations and load

	LX+ LZ-	>
E 4:1.	L 2(DL+EL)	
6° S	SELFWEIGHT X 1	
6° S	SELFWEIGHT Z 1	
E C 5:GE	ENERATED INDIAN CODE GENRAL_STRUC	TI
	1.2) x Load 3 1.2) x Load 1	
☐ C 6:GE	ENERATED INDIAN CODE GENRAL_STRUC	TI
	1.2) x Load 3 1.2) x Load 2	
E C 7:GE	ENERATED INDIAN CODE GENRAL_STRUC	TI
	-1.2) x Load 3	(
E 8: GE	ENERATED INDIAN CODE GENRAL_STRUC	Ĩ
	-1.2) x Load 2	
L Load En	velopes	~
<		
New	Add Edit Delet	e
Toggle Lo	oad	
	n Selected Entities 💿 Use Cursor To A	ssian
O Assign To	o View O Assign To Edit L	ist
-		
	Assign Close He	- 4e

Fig 3.2.5 : Combination and Load

# Step 6: Analysis and print

Add IS code and specificationIS 456

Perform Buckling Ana	lysis	Perform Push	over Analysis	Change
Perform Analysis	P	Delta Analysis	Perform Cal	ole Analysis
Prin	at Oatia			
		л .		
C	) No P	rint		
C	) Load	Data		
C	) Static	s Check		
C	) Static	s Load		
۲	Mode	Mode Shapes		
C	Both			
C	All			

Fig 3.2.6 : Analysis and Print

# Step 7: Run program

In this step we have to run the total program of analysis that analysis will give us the brief about the loads and the stresses how they effects the beams, column and the plates of the structure .

Mode Shapes Element Stress Solid		ent Stress Solid	Section	on Displacement	Force	Max Force
Story Drift	Cg	Surface Forces	Phy	sical Member Forces	Buc	kling Shapes
Load List	Section	Joint Displace	ment	Member Forces	Supp	ort Reactions
Load Case	es utton to tra	nsfer selected	> >> <	Load List 1: EL X+ 2: EL Z- 3: DL 4: 1.3(DL+EL) 5: GENERATED 6: GENERATED 7: GENERATED 8: GENERATED 8: GENERATED	INDIAN INDIAN INDIAN INDIAN	CODE GI CODE GI CODE GI CODE GI

Fig 3.2.7 : Run Program

Mod	lelin	g Postprocessing Steel Design Concrete Design RAM Connection Bridge Deck Advanced Slat	Desig	n Piping							
a	T.	Final - Whole Structure	1 Tin	al - Node Di							
ě	ne		44	ALA	Summary /						
0	ce		and and	1 1 1	Horizontal	Vertical	Horizontal	Resultant		Rotational	
e	ispla		Node	LC	X	Y	Z	mm	rX rad	r¥ rad	rZ rad
gea	0		1	1 EL X+	32.551	1.662	0.006	32.594	0.000	0.000	-0.000
-	11	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	100	2 EL Z-	-0.004	-0.605	-32.543	32.549	-0.000	0.000	-0.000
	-		3	3 DL	-14.089	-0.571	38.447	40.951	0.000	-0.000	0.000
æ	SU		1	41.3(DL+EL)	763.425	48.458	763.234	1080.598	0.006	-0.000	-0.006
lat	tio		-	5 GENERATE	22.154	1.310	46.143	51,202	0.000	-0.000	-0.000
-	6.00			6 GENERATE	-16.912	-1,411	7.084	18.390	0.000	0.000	0.000
-	ñ	No to the second s	1	7 GENERATE	-55.969	-2.680	46.129	72.578	0.000	-0.000	0.001
	÷.		1.1	8 OENERATE	-16.903	0.041	85.187	86.848	0.001	-0.000	0.000
noi	-		2	1 EL X+	32.553	1.769	0.003	32.601	0.000	0.000	-0.000
U.at				2 EL Z-	-0.000	0.000	-32.542	32.542	-0.000	0.000	-0.000
ġ.			ा है। संबंध	al - Beam R	elative Displ elative Disp	acement De lacement /	nali (Max Rela	tive Displac	ements /		
ports			Beam	LC	Dist	x	y mm	2 mm	Resultant		
å		1111111	343	1 EL X+	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
E					0.217	-0.000	0.062	0.000	0.062		
-					0.434	-0.000	0.074	0.000	0.074		
					0.651	-0.000	0.049	0.000	0.049		
				0	0.868	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
				2 EL Z-	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
					0.217	0.000	-0.028	0.000	0.028		
		M////////////			0.434	0.000	0.013	-0.000	0.013		
					0.651	-0.000	0.048	0.000	0.048		
		Y anno data			0.868	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
		2-X Load 4 : Displacement	3	3 DL	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
					0.217	0.000	0.007	-0.000	0.007		

Fig 3.2.8 : Bending Moment Diagram

In this it shows about bending moment of the structure that if there is an extra stress and load acting on the structure like Earthquake load, wind load in bad condition.

# **Step 8: Main Pressures on Plates**

In this it shows the main stresses on the plates that is how the stress is acting on the plates .

Structure	Loads and F	lesults	Scales	Lab	els
Force Limits A	nimation	Design F	lesults	Plate Stress Lor	ntour
Load Case: 4: 1.3	3(DL+EL)		~		
Stress Type					
Stress tupe: May Top (	Dringing Maio	Chang			
Stress ope.	-ппсіраї марі	Suessj			
Contour Type		Use Cus	stom Divisions		
Normal Fill			1 -		
C Enhanced Fill		-	A	В	1
O Normal Line		1	<= 0.113159	1.39974	
		2	1.39974	2.00032	
Absolute Values			3 9729	5 25948	
🗹 Index Based on Cente	r Stress		5 25948	6 54607	
View Stress Index			6.54607	7.83265	
		7	7.83265	9.11923	
Re-Index for new view	,	8	9.11923	10.4058	
Show Displaced Shap	e	9	10.4058	11.6924	
📃 Contour Based on Visi	ble Entities Or	nly <mark>10</mark>	11.6924	12.979	
📃 Use Custom Limits 🚽		11	12.979	14.2656	
	N/mm2	12	14.2656	15.5521	
Minimum: 0,113159		13	15.5521	16.8387	
	N/mm2	14	16.8387	18.1253	
Maximum: 20.6985		15	18.1253	19.4119	
No of	-	16	19.4119	>= 20.6985	
values:	-				
Noto: Stress contours a	nd indou uslu		uted on the basi	a of unsideted	
average values.	nu index value	es are compi	uted on the basi	s or weighted	
			Ac	tivate Wi	ndo
		-		to Gettingere to	
	OK	Cano	el Ap	nlv F	leln

**Fig 3.2.9 : Pressures on Plate** 



### Fig 3.2.10 : Pressure on Top Dome

Pressures in Beam: It shows about the the pressure acting on the Beam.



Fig 3.2.11 Pressure in Beam

**Pressures in Column:** It shows about the pressure acting on the Column .

Phi to 含 0 日 日	st Verw ≩na`l @ @ ∭	Took Select Republic Report Mode Wordow Help 副語法院大学+111+前日語》 副語法院会会会会 「新聞教育会会会」 「新聞教育会会。 「新聞教育会会」 「新聞教育会会。 「新聞教育会会」 「新聞教育会会。 「新聞教育会会」 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「 「	(@.@ ⊮ ?	. 0. 02 C ⊻ // []	ing. ∩⊎]≢	€ 4 LOAD	CASE 4		12	
1. a	Modelin	g/Postprocessing Steel Design Concrete Design RAM Connection Bridge Deck Advanced Slab Design Pipi	ing							
8		EI INTZ TANK - 30 Beam Stress Contour								
4 4 5 4 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	crimation Plate   Beam o N			γ = 0.0000 Stress = 2	) cm, z = 0.00 9.7881 N/mm	(a) 000 cm 12		, ž		
2	ñ	🖾 DTTZ TANK Untilled 1 +*	-	Z TANK - B	eam Comhin	nd Azial and	Bending S	fensos:		
H	st l	10000 1000	14 4	NIA / C	Max Stress	es A Profile	Stress Po Corner	Stress		Ma
100 A	I Rep		Beam	LC	Dist m	Corner 1 Ilimm2	Corner 2 Itmm2	Corner 3 Itmm2	Corner 4 Illmm2	Max Cor Himm
			143	1 86 7.4	0.750	2,306	2.306	0.562	0.562	2.
	mics		-		1.500	3.299	3 299	-0.350	-0.350	4:
15	ar l				3.000	5.123	5.123	-2.174	-2.174	5.
5	2			2 EL Z-VE	0.000	-2.316	2 316	2.316	-2.316	2
()# ·	-			-	1.500	-4.632	4.632	4.632	-4,632	4)
1		2-X Load 4 : Beam Stress	<		2 250	-6.940 -9.264	6 940 9 264	9.264	-6.948 -9.264	9.¥
for Help	press F				Post	Mode			Input	Units: kN-m

Fig 3.2.12 : Pressure in Column

# Final 3D View

This is the final 3d view of the structure .



Fig 3.2.12 : Final Structure

# **Step 9: Report**

2	Job No	Sheet No 1	1	
Software licensed to	Part			
Job Title	Ref			
	By	Date11-Jun-15	Chd	
Client	File Final.std			·

### Materials

Mat	Name	E (kN/mm <sup>2</sup> )	v	Density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	α. (1/°K)
1	STEEL	205.000	0.300	7.83E+3	12E -6
2	STAINLESSSTEEL	197.930	0.300	7.83E+3	18E -6
3	ALUMINUM	68.948	0.330	2.71E+3	23E -6
4	CONCRETE	21.718	0.170	2.4E+3	10E -6

### **Basic Load Cases**

Number	Name
1	EL X+
2	EL Z-
3	DL
4	LOAD CASE 4

# **Combination Load Cases**

Comb.	Combination L/C Name	Primary	Primary L/C Name	Factor
5	GENERATED INDIAN CODE GENRAL_S'	3	DL	1.20
		1	EL X+	1.20
6	GENERATED INDIAN CODE GENRAL_S'	3	DL	1.20
		2	EL Z-	1.20
7	GENERATED INDIAN CODE GENRAL_S'	3	DL	1.20
		1	EL X+	-1.20
8	GENERATED INDIAN CODE GENRAL_S'	3	DL	1.20
		2	EL Z-	-1.20

#### Load Generators

There is no data of this type.

# Seismic Loading : 1 EL X+

Code	Direction	Factor		
	Х	1.000		

### Seismic Loading : 2 EL Z-

Code	Direction	Factor
	Z	-1.000

Print Time/Date: 12/06/2015 10:20

STAAD.Pro for Windows 20.07.04.12

Print Run 1 of 9

	2							JO	b No	Sheet N	<sup>io</sup> 2		Rev
	2	huges loopcod to						Pa	rt	_			
Job TI	so	wate intensed to						Re	ef				
								By	1	Date	hun 45	Chd	
0000								0,			-Jun-15	U.N.	
cilent								1916	Final.std				
1	Plate	Loads : 3	DL	_									
	Plate	Туре	Direction	Fa	Fb	X1 (m)	(m)		X2 (m)	Y2 (m)			
	1	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-		-	-	1		
	2	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012		-				1		
	3	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.008	0.008	-	-			·	1		
	4	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.008	0.008	-	-				1		
	5	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-			-	1		
1	6	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012		-				1		
	7	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-				]		
	8	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-		-	8	]		
	9	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.008	0.008	-	-		-	-	]		
1	10	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.008	0.008	-	-			S	]		
[	11	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013	-	-		-	S	1		
	12	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013	-	-			8	]		
[	13	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-		-	-	1		
[	14	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-		-	-			
[	15	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014	-	-		-	·			
[	16	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014	-	-		-	-			
[	17	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013	-	-		-	-	1		
[	18	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013	-	-			-	1		
	19	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014	-					1		
	20	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014	-	-				1		
	21	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.017	0.016	-	-			•	1		
	22	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.017	0.016	-	-	_			-		
	23	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-		-		-		
	24	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-	_			-		
	25	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.008	0.008	-	-	_	-	-	1		
	26	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.008	0.008	-	-	_	•		-		
	27	TRAP N/mm2	2	0.012	0.012		-	_			-		
	28	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-	_			1		
	29	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.003	0.003	-	-	_		-	-		
	30	TRAP N/mm2	2	0.003	0.003	-	-	_			-		
	31	TRAP N/mm2	2	0.017	0.016		-	_			-		
	32	TRAP N/mm2	2	0.01/	0.016		-	_			1		
	33	TRAP N/mm2	2	0.019	0.017	-	-	_			1		
	34	TRAP N/mm2	2	0.019	0.017	-	-	_	•	•	-		
	35	TRAP N/mm2	2	0.014	0.013			-			1		
	30	TDAD N/mm2	2	0.014	0.013			-			1		
	30	TDAD N/mm2	2	0.003	0.003	-	-	-	-	-	1		
	30	TDAD Minura		0.003	0.003	-	-	-	-	-	1		
	40	TDAP N/mm2	7	0.019	0.017	-		-	-		1		
ł	40	TRAP N/mm2	7	0.019	0.01/	-		-			1		
	41	TDAD Mimm2	7	0.016	0.014	-	-	-	-	-	1		
	42	TDAD N/mm2	7	0.010	0.014	-	-	-	-	-	1		
	43	TRAP N/mm2	7	0.020	0.020	-		-	-		1		
l ł	45	TRAP N/mm2	7	0.020	0.020			-			1		
∥ ł	46	TRAP N/mm2	7	0.017	0.016		-	٨	-	4 1 4	C		
1 <sup>1</sup>	40	Treat Terriniz	-	0.017	0.010	-	-	1	<del>CTIV3</del>	hte: W	Ind	OWS	

5									(155	
So So	flware licensed to						Part			15
							Ref			
							Ву		Ch	nd
							File Final.std		1	
							1 110.010			
late Plate	Loads : 3	DL CO	nt Fa	Fb	X1	Y1	X2	Y2		
					(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)		
47	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.020	0.020		0.00	7.0	-		
48	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.020	0.020		0.75	-			
49	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	3. <b>•</b> 3		•		
50	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.003	0.003		0.50	1.000	-		
51	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.003	0.003		-		-		
52	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	<u></u>	· ·	-			
53	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.019	0.017	- S- S	1223		-		
54	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.019	0.017	3 <b>-</b> 2	(inc.)	849	-		
55	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-		3.00	()			
56	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-			-		
57	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	3 <b>-</b> 31	3.05	100 C	-		
58	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.008	0.008			0.00			
59	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.008	0.008				•		
60	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	•				-		
61	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			0.00	1.000	-		
62	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020				-	-		
63	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	1220	120	12	22	22		
64	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	144	244				
65	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-			-		
66	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.020	0.020	-		1			
67	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.020	0.020		( <b>-</b> )	(-)	-2		
68	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013				-		
69	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013		(. <b>.</b> )				
70	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-		-		
71	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-		0.00	-	-		
72	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020					•		
73	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014		-		-		
74	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014	520	1023	1. 1925	24		
75	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	<u></u>		1.1	10		
76	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	( <b>.</b> )	3 <b>-</b> 3	S	S-3	2		
77	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	14.0	343	( <b>-</b> )	243	20		
78	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	•	2+3		-		
79	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020					•		
80	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-			-		
81	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-			-	-		
82	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020				-			
83	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.017	0.016						
84	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.017	0.016	-	-	-	-		
85	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	127		-	2		
86	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-						
87	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.003	0.003	-	-				
88	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.003	0.003	141	1.1	1.1	2.		
89	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		3 <b>4</b> 3		-	-		
90	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.019	0.017						
91	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.019	0.017						
92	PRF N/mm2	7	-0.020			100000				
34	FRE WIIIII2	4	-0.020					-		

							Job No	Sheet N	0	Rev	_
$\sim$									4		
							Part	_		_	_
Sol	ftware licensed to						Bat				
in the									_	-	_
							ву		_	Crid	
t							File Final.std				
			1								
<u>Plate</u>	Loads : 3	DL Co	<u>nt</u>								
Plate	Туре	Direction	Fa	Fb	X1	Y1	X2	Y2	1		
100000000					(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)			
93	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	-	1		
94	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-	·	1		
95	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-		1		
96	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.020	0.020	-	-	-	-	]		
97	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.020	0.020	-	-	-	·	]		
98	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	- 1	-	-	-	<u> </u>	]		
99	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	· -	]		
100	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	÷	]		
101	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-			
102	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-				
103	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	-			
104	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-			
105	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-				
106	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-			
107	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-			
108	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	-			
109	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-			
110	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	1		
111	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	-			
112	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	1		
113	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-				
114	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-		1		
115	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	S	1		
116	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-		1		
117	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-		1		
118	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-		1		
119	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-		1		
120	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-		1		
121	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	1		
122	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-		-		
123	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	•	-		
124	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	•	-		
125	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	•	-		
126	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-		-		
127	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	•	1		
128	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-			•	-		
129	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-		-		
130	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	-	-		
131	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-		-		
132	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	-		
133	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-		-		
134	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	•	-	-			1		
135	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	-	-		
136	PRE N/mm2	2	-0.020	•	-	-		-	-		
137	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-		1		
157	005		0.001								

2							Job No	Sheet No	5	Rev
							Part			
SO	ftware licensed to						Raf			
ue							rver			
							By		CI	hđ
						_	File Final.std			
Plate	Loads : 3	DL CO	nt	Fb	X1	¥1	X2	¥2		
1 1010	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Direction			(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)		
139	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	-		
140	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-				
141	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-		-			
142	PRF N/mm2	7	-0.020							
143	PRF N/mm2	7	-0.020							
144	PRF N/mm2	7	-0.020		-					
1/15	DDE Mmm2	7	-0.020	-	-	-	-			
145	DDE Mana		-0.020							
140	PRE N/mm2	2	-0.020							
14/	PRE N/mm2	2	-0.020		-	-	-	•		
148	PRE N/mm2	2	-0.020				-			
149	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-			
150	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	•		
151	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020				-			
152	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-			
153	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-			
154	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-			
155	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-		
156	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-			
157	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-		-	-			
158	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-		-	-			
159	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-			
160	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020				-			
161	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020				-			
162	PRE N/mm2	7	-0.020				-			
163	PRF N/mm2	7	-0.020				-			
164	TDAD M/mm2	7	0.010	0.017	-					
165	TDAD Mimme?	7	0.019	0.020	-		-			
105	TDAD Numma		0.020	0.020						
100	TRAP N/mm2	2	0.017	0.016						
16/	TRAP N/mm2	2	0.003	0.003	-	-	-			
168	TRAP N/mm2	2	0.016	0.014			-			
169	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014	-	-	-			
170	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013	-	-	-			
171	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013	-	-	-			
172	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-	-			
173	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-	-			
174	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-	-			
175	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012	-	-	-	-		
176	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.008	0.008	-	-	-			
177	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.008	0.008		-	-			
178	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.003	0.003	-	-	-			
179	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.020	0.020			-			
180	TRAP N/mm2	7	0.017	0.016						
181	TRAP N/mm2	7	0.019	0.017	-		-			
182	DDE Mmm2	7	-0.020	0.017	-	-	-			
102	DDE Numero		-0.020							
10.5	PRE N/mm2	2	-0.020		-			•		
40.4	000 10000									

2							Job No	Sheet No	6	Rev
	hanne Francesco						Part	_		
Sol	ware scensed to						Ref			
							By			
							57			100-00
							File Final.std		_	
Plate	Loads: 3	DL CO	nt Fa	Fb	X1	Y1	X2	Y2	1	
405	005		0.001		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	١	
185	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-			1	
186	PRE N/mm2	2	-0.020	-	-	-			1	
10/	DDE N/mm2		-0.020	-	-	-			1	
100	PRE N/mm2	4	-0.020	-		-		<u> </u>	1	
109	PRE N/mm2	2	-0.020	-	-	-	+	<u> </u>	1	
101	PRF N/mm2	7	-0.020	-		-	+	<u> </u>	1	
197	PRE N/mm2	7	-0.020	-	-	-	+		1	
193	PRF N/mm2	7	-0.020	-	-	-			1	
194	PRE N/mm2	7	-0.020	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			١	
195	PRE N/mm2	7	-0.020			-	-		1	
196	PRE N/mm2	- Z	-0.020	-	-	-			1	
197	PRE N/mm2	- Z	-0.020	-	-	-		<u> </u>	1	
198	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-		-	1	
199	PRE N/mm2	z	-0.020	-	-	-		-	1	
200	PRE N/mm2	z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	1	
201	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-			1	
202	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	1	
203	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	-	1	
204	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-		-	-	1	
205	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-		1	
206	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	1	
207	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-		-	-	-	1	
208	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	1	
209	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	1	
210	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	1	
211	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	1	
212	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	١	
213	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	- 1	1	
214	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	1	
215	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	١	
216	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-	1	
217	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	· · ·	1	
218	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-		-	١	
219	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-		١	
220	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-		-	-	<u> </u>	1	
221	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-		<u> </u>	1	
222	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-			1	
223	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-		1	
224	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	+ -	<u> </u>	1	
225	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-		<u> </u>	1	
226	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-		1	
227	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-		1	
228	PRE N/mm2	2	-0.020	-	-	-	-		1	
229	PRE N/mm2	2	-0.020	-	-	-	-		1	
230	FRE N/mm2	4	-0.020	-			-	1	•	

~									7	
							Part			
	fivare icensed to						Bat			
							αy	Cute 11-		.nd
							File Final.std			_
late	Loads : 3	Direction	nt Fa	Fb	X1	Y1	X2	Y2		
					(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)		
231	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-	•		
232	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-			
233	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-	-		
234	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	-		
235	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	· ·		
236	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	-		
237	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-			
238	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-			
239	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-		•		
240	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020							
241	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			· ·				
242	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			· ·				
243	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020							
244	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020							
245	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-	-		
245	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-	•		
247	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	-		
248	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	-		
249	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.020	0.020	-	-	-	-		
250	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.020	0.020		-		-		
251	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-	•		
252	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-		-		
253	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020					-		
254	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.019	0.017						
255	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.019	0.017						
256	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020							
257	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.003	0.003						
258	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.003	0.003			-			
259	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020				-	•		
260	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020				-	•		
261	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-		
262	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.017	0.016	-	-	-	•		
263	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.017	0.016	-	-	-	•		
264	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-	-			
265	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020			-		•		
266	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020							
267	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020							
268	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020							
269	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020							
270	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014						
271	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014			-			
272	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020		-	-	-	•		
273	PRE N/mm2	Z	-0.020	-	-	-	-	-		
274	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013	-	-	-	-		
275	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013	-	-	-			
-	TOLLO Minung	7	0.000	0.000						

~							100 100		8	17
							Part			
50	tware scended to						Ref			
										_
							Dy .			
							File Final.std		0	
late	Loads : 3	DL Co	nt							
Plate	Туре	Direction	Fa	Fb	X1 (m)	Y1 (m)	X2 (m)	Y2 (m)	1	
277	TDAD Nimm?	7	0.020	0.020	(m)	(11)	(44)	(m)	1	
278	PDF Nimm2	7	0.020	0.020					1	
270	DDE Nimm2	7	-0.020		-			-	1	
200	DDE Nimm?	7	-0.020						1	
200	TDAD Nimm2	7	0.012	0.012					1	
282	TRAP Nime?	7	0.012	0.012	-		-	-	1	
283	PDF Nime?	7	0.012	0.012	-		-		1	
284	PDE Nime?	7	-0.020				-		1	
285	TRAP Nimm2	2	0.010	0.013					1	
202	TDAD Nimm2		0.012	0.012					1	
287	TDAD Minure?	2	0.002	0.002					1	
207	TDAD Nimm?	2	0.000	0.000					1	
200	TOAD Nimm2		0.000	0.000					1	
209	TDAD Nimm2		0.019	0.017					1	
290	DDE Nimm2	- 2	0.019	0.017		· ·			1	
291	PRE N/mm2	7	-0.020			· ·			1	
292	TDAD Nimm2	7	-0.020	0.003		· ·			1	
293	TRAP N/mm2		0.003	0.003		· ·			1	
294	TDAD Nimm2		0.003	0.003		· ·			1	
295	TDAD Mimm2		0.020	0.020					1	
299	TDAD Mimm2		0.020	0.020					1	
291	TDAD Mimm2		0.017	0.010					1	
200	TDAD Nimm2		0.017	0.010					1	
200	TOAD Nimm2		0.020	0.020					1	
300	TDAD Nimm2		0.020	0.020					1	
301	TDAD Nimm2		0.016	0.014					1	
302	TDAD Nimm2		0.010	0.014					1	
303	TDAD Nimm2	7	0.019	0.017					1	
305	TRAP Nime?	7	0.019	0.002			-		1	
305	TRAP Nimm?	7	0.003	0.003					1	
307	TRAP Nimm?	7	0.003	0.003					1	
308	TRAP Nimm?	7	0.014	0.013			-		1	
309	TRAP Nimm2	7	0.019	0.013			-		1	
310	TRAP Nime?	7	0.019	0.017	-				1	
311	TRAP Nime?	7	0.017	0.016			-		1	
312	TRAP Nime?	7	0.017	0.016			-		1	
313	TRAP Nime?	7	0.003	0.003					1	
314	TRAP Nime?	7	0.003	0.003					1	
315	TRAP Nime?	7	0.012	0.003	-				1	
346	TDAD Nime?	7	0.012	0.012	-				1	
310	TDAD Nime?	2	0.012	0.012					1	
31/	TDAD Numm2	2	0.012	0.012					1	
310	TRAP NIMI2	2	0.012	0.012					1	
319	TRAP NIMI2	2	0.008	0.008					1	
320	TRAP NIMI2		0.005	0.008					1	
321	TOAP Nmm2		0.017	0.016					1	
1000	I I POST INTIMA		0.01/1	111111	-			-		

2							Job No	Sheet NO	9	Re
2.	Ruare licensed to					t t	Part			
1							Ref			
						ŀ	6v	L 1		
							-		1	
							Final.std			-
Plate 323	TDAD Nimm2	Direction	Fa	FD	(m)	(m)	(m)	Y2 (m)		
323	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014			-			
324	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014			-	-		
325	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013			-	-		
326	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013			-			
327	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014	-	-	-			
328	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.016	0.014			-	-		
329	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.012	0.012		-				
330	TRAP Nimm2	Z	0.012	0.012						
	TRAP Nimm2	Z	0.014	0.013			-			
331	1100 101000									
331 332	TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013	-	-				
331 332 333	TRAP N/mm2 TRAP N/mm2	Z	0.014	0.013						
331 332 333 334	TRAP N/mm2 TRAP N/mm2 TRAP N/mm2	Z Z Z	0.014 0.012 0.012	0.013 0.012 0.012	-	-	-			
331 332 333 334 335	TRAP Nimm2 TRAP Nimm2 TRAP Nimm2 TRAP Nimm2 TRAP Nimm2	Z Z Z Z	0.014 0.012 0.012 0.008	0.013 0.012 0.012 0.008	-	-	-			

# Selfweight : 3 DL

TRAP N/mm2

TRAP N/mm2

TRAP N/mm2

TRAP N/mm2

TRAP N/mm2

TRAP N/mm2

Z

Ζ

z

Ζ

z

Z

0.012

0.012

0.012

0.012

0.008

0.008

0.012

0.012

0.012

0.012

0.008

0.008

.

.

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

Direction Factor Y -1.000

337

338

339

340

341

342

# Selfweight : 4 LOAD CASE 4

Orrection	racior
х	1.000
Y	1.000

1.000

z

- We used three various structures of circular water tanks in the process, and we first created the water tank manually using IS 3370-2009. We use this information to construct and analyse the structure using STAAD. Pro Circular water tank structures were designed using specific dimensions for the same capacity.
- 2. As we all know, beams and columns contribute nearly all of the building's load through transferring dead and live loads. As a result, we began designing the structure in STAAD. Pro..
- 3. As the structure's capacity grows, so does the amount of materials required. However, a less-than-perfect proportionality finding was observed, i.e., a proportional increase in capacity did not always imply a comparable increase in the materials required.
- 4. A report was created for all types of water tanks after this thorough examination:
- 5. All members of the structure appear to be secure. STAAD.Pro calculates the total volume of concrete for beams and plates.
- In addition, in the case of steel STAAD. Only for beams and plates does Pro provide the total weight of reinforcement steel.

For considering case study about elevated intze tank we are consider intze tank which is constructing at GPGC Bilaspur.

# **4.1 DATA**

∔ Type of Tank	Elevated Tank
Location of Site	Near GPGC Bilaspur
Complete Dimension	
> Length	12+8 m
> Height	8 m
Dia. Of Dom	15.55 m
Dia. Of Bottom Cylinder	8 m (inside)
➡ Volume of Tank	1 ML (1000000 lt)
Grade of concrete	M30



# 4.2 Section of Tank:



**Fig 4.2 : section of water tank** 

# 4.3 Specifications of Aji Intze tank:

- So, For Aji Intze Tank These all Data is to be considered and the seismic zone III Wise Earthquake related study can be done.
- Consider Hard Soil Properties for Foundation and select raft foundation for tank
- Consider elevated intze tank so by gravity water fall can be done for supplying .
- For Grade of concrete M30 Grade is selected
- Quantity of water can be stored in tank is 1 ML(1000000 ltrs)

Elevated Intze water tank of 675000 liter capacity with 15 m staging has been design considering M25 concrete. However, M30 is used for container and M25 for the staging.

Detailed structural drawing have been prepared. Nodal displacement, forces, stress in staging and other part, these all data has been calculated by using STAAD Pro v8i.

The tank is stable for hydrostatic analysis, but not when sloshing is included in the study, when the critical element values surpass the limitation values.

After the designing of inzte type elevated water tank by using STAAD Pro v8i and manually method we can conclude that the design is safe.

### REFERENCES

- CRITERIA FOR EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT DESIGN OF STRUCTURES (PART 1 GENERAL PROVISIONS AND BUILDINGS) IS 1893(Part I): 2002
- 2. I.S 456:2000 for RCC.
- 3. IS:800:2007, Code of practice for General Construction of Steel
- 4. I.S 875 Part I and Part II for dead & imposed load
- Chirag N. Patel, Shashi N, Vaghela, H. S. Patel, Sloshing Response of Elevated Water Tank over Alternate Column Proportionality, International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology pp 60-63, Oct.-Dec., 2012.
- Housner. G. W, the Dynamic Behaviourof Water Tanks, Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America.Vol. 53, No.
  2, pp. 381-387. February, 1963
- Design of Intze Tank in Perspective of Revision of IS: 3370: By, Pavan S. Ekbote and Dr. Jagadish .G. Kori (2013).
- Ferrocement floor and roof system for buildings By Dr.T.S.Thandavamoorty Adhiparasakti Engineering college Melmaaruvathur
- Indian Standard Plain & Reinforced Concrete Code of Practice (Fourth Revision), IS-456, 2000.

- 10. <u>https://bimncad.com/2017/08/12/over-head-water-tank-staad-analysis/</u>
- Issar Kapadia, Purav Patel, Nilesh Dholiya and Nikunj Patel (2017). "Design, Analysis and Comparison of Underground Rectangular water tank by using STAAD Provi8 software". International Journal of Scientific Development and Research (IJSDR), January 2017, Volume2, Issue 1, ISSN: 2455-2631.
- B.V. Ramana Murthy, M Chiranjeevi. "Design of Rectangular Water Tank by Using Staad Pro Software". - International Journal of Computer Science information and Engg., Technologies, issue 6-volume 1, series 3, ISSN 2277-4408.
- 13. Image of Determination of epicentres <u>www.Google.com</u>
- 14. Different Earthquake Zones of India Image <u>www.Google.com</u>
- 15. Fig 1.2 Image www.Google.com
- 16. Fig 1.3 Under Ground Water Tank www.Google.com
- 17. Fig 1.4 Resting on ground Tank www.Google.com
- 18. Fig 1.5 Elevated Water Tank www.wikipedia.com
- 19. Fig 1. Conical Flask WaterTank www.wikipedia.com

- Thalapathy.M, Vijaisarathi.R. P,Sudhakar.P, Sridharan.V, Satheesh.V. S(2016). "Analysis and Economical Design of Water Tanks". International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering & Technology, Vol. 3, Issue 3, ISSN 2348 – 7968.
- 21. Nallanathel. M,Ramesh. B, Jagadeesh. L(2018). "Design and Analysis of Water Tanks using Staad Pro". International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics,Vol.119,No.17,3021-3029 pp, ISSN: 1314-3395 (on-line version). url: http://www.acadpubl.eu/hub/ Special Issue.
- Nikunjr.P,Mistry. J., "Analysis of Circular Water Tank Stresses Under Hydrostatic Loading by Using Stadd Pro Software". Indian Journal of Research, Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016.
- Dubey.D.,Dubey.S.,Bajpai.Y.K., "Wind Force Analysis of Intze Type Water Tank in Various Wind Zones Using STAAD-PRO". International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development, Vol. 4, Issue 6, June -2017, e-ISSN (O): 2348-4470, p-ISSN (P): 2348-6406.
- Patel Kulvendra. "Wind and Seismic Analysis of Elevated Tank using Staad Pro". International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, Vol. 05, Issue 10, Oct 2018, e-ISSN: 2395-0056, p-ISSN: 2395-0072.
- 25. Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur Gujarat State Disaster Management Authority (IITK-GSDMA) Guidelines for Seismic

Design of Liquid Storage Tanks, National Information Center of Earthquake Engineering (NICEE) Publication, October 2007, ISBN 81-904190-4-8.

- 26. Issar Kapadia, Purav Patel, Nilesh Dholiya and Nikunj Patel (2017). "Design, Analysis and Comparison of Underground Rectangular water tank by using STAAD Provi8 software". International Journal of Scientific Development and Research (IJSDR), January 2017, Volume2, Issue 1, ISSN: 2455-2631.
- 27. B.V. Ramana Murthy, M Chiranjeevi."Design of Rectangular Water Tank by Using Staad Pro Software". -International Journal of Computer Science information and Engg., Technologies, issue 6-volume 1, series 3, ISSN 2277-4408.
- Thalapathy.M, Vijaisarathi.R. P,Sudhakar.P, Sridharan.V, Satheesh.V. S(2016). "Analysis and Economical Design of Water Tanks". International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering & Technology, Vol. 3, Issue 3, ISSN 2348 -7968.
- Nallanathel. M,Ramesh. B, Jagadeesh. L(2018). "Design and Analysis of Water Tanks using Staad Pro". International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics,Vol.119,No.17,3021-3029 pp, ISSN: 1314-3395 (on-line version). url: http://www.acadpubl.eu/hub/ Special Issue.
- 30. Analysis of Circular Water Tank Stresses Under Hydrostatic Loading by Using Stadd Pro Software," Nikunjr.P. and Mistry. J. Vol. 5, Issue 9, September 2016. Indian Journal of Research.
- 31. Dubey, D., Dubey, S., and Bajpai, Y.K., "Wind Force Analysis of Intze Type Water Tank in Various Wind Zones Using STAAD-PRO." June -2017, Vol. 4, Issue 6 of the International Journal of Advance Engineering and Research Development.
- 32. Kulvendra Patel. "Wind and Seismic Analysis of an Elevated Tank Using Staad Pro." e-ISSN: 2395-0056, p-ISSN: 2395-0072,

International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, Vol. 05, Issue 10, October 2018, e-ISSN: 2395-0056, p-ISSN: 23

- 33. Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur-Gujarat State Disaster Management Authority (IITK-GSDMA).Guidelines for Seismic Design of Liquid Storage Tanks, National Information Center of Earthquake Engineering (NICEE) Publication, October 2007, ISBN 81-904190-4-8.
- 34. B.V. Ramana Murthy, M Chiranjeevi."Design of Rectangular Water Tank by Using Staad Pro Software". – International Journal of Computer Science information and Engg., Technologies, issue 6-volume 1, series 3, ISSN 2277-4408.
- 35. M.V Waghmare and S.N Madhekar, "Behavior of elevated water tank under sloshing effect", International Journal of Advanced Technology in Civil Engineering, (2013), Vol.2 (1), (51-54)
- 36. Nallanathel. M,Ramesh. B, Jagadeesh. L(2018). "Design and Analysis of Water Tanks using Staad Pro". International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics,Vol.119,No.17,3021- 3029 pp, ISSN: 1314-3395 (on-line version). url: http://www.acadpubl.eu/hub/ Special Issue.
- 37. Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur Gujarat State Disaster Management Authority (IITK-GSDMA) Guidelines for Seismic Design of Liquid Storage Tanks, National Information Centre of Earthquake Engineering (NICEE) Publication, October 2007, ISBN 81-904190-4-8.
- 38. Issar Kapadia, Purav Patel, Nilesh Dholiya and Nikunj Patel (2017). "Design, Analysis and Comparison of Underground Rectangular water tank by using STAAD Provi8 software". International Journal of Scientific Development and Research (IJSDR), January 2017, Volume2, Issue 1, ISSN: 2455-2631.

- 39. Chen, J. Z. and Kianoush, M. R. (2017). Generalized SDOF System for Dynamic Analysis of Concrete Rectangular Liquid Storage Tanks: Effect of Tank Parameters on Response, Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering 37, 262-272.
- 40. Indian Standard Plain & Reinforced Concrete Code of Practice (Fourth Revision), IS-456,2000
- 41. IS : 3370:2009, Concrete Structures for the Storage of Liquids Code of Practice

•

	2			
Type of Document (Tic	k): PhD Thesis M.Tec	h Dissertation/ Repor	t B.Tech Project Report Pape	er
Name: DEEPAK SHI	UR and De	partment: ENGINE	Enrolment No 18183	1. 1816:
Contact No. 98820	68830	E-mail	DJUTTSOIAH, TN	_
Name of the Superviso	. Dr. Rishi Ran	a		
Title of the Thesis/Diss	ertation/Project Repor	t/Paper (In Capital let	ters): DESIGN AND	
ANALYSIS OF	WATER TAN	USING ST	AAD- PRO VET	
I undertake that I am an copyright violations in t withdraw/revoke my di	ware of the plagiarism he above thesis/report egree/report. Kindly al	UNDERTAKING related norms/ regula even after award of o low me to avail Plagi	tions, if I found guilty of any pla degree, the University reserves t arism verification report for the	giarism a he rights
mentioned above.				Gocume
- Total No. of Pages	t Pages Detail:			*
- Total No. of Prelin	ninary pages = 9			-00
- Total No. of pages	accommodate bibliogr	aphy/references = 4	APH263	Cisio
	501		(Signature	of Stude
We have checked the t	hesis/report as per por	ms and found Similar	the lades of 93 and m	-
Vichi Ka	vy		-HEWELL	5150
(Signature of Guide/Sup The above document wa	ervisor) is scanned for plagiaris	FOR LRC USE	Signature of HOD of the same is reported below:	-1-1-
(Signature of Guide/Sup The above document wa Copy Received on	ervisor) is scanned for plagiarisi Excluded	FOR LRC USE m check. The outcome Similarity Index (%)	of the same is reported below: Generated Plagiarism Report (Title, Abstract & Chapt	rt Details ters)
(Signature of Guide/Sup The above document wa Copy Received on	ervisor) is scanned for plagiarise Excluded • All Preliminary	FOR LRC USE In check. The outcome Similarity Index (%)	of the same is reported below: Generated Plagiarism Report (Title, Abstract & Chapt Word Counts	rt Detail: ters)
(Signature of Guide/Sup The above document wa Copy Received on Report Generated on	ervisor) is scanned for plagiaris Excluded • All Preliminary Pages • Bibliography/Ima	FOR LRC USE In check. The outcome Similarity Index (%)	Signature of HOD of the same is reported below: Generated Plagiarism Report (Title, Abstract & Chapt Word Counts Character Counts	rt Details
(Signature of Guide/Sup The above document wa Copy Received on Report Generated on	ervisor) is scanned for plagiaris Excluded • All Preliminary Pages • Bibliography/Ima ges/Quotes • 14 Words String	FOR LRC USE m check. The outcome Similarity Index (%) Submission ID	Signature of HOD   of the same is reported below:   Generated Plagiarism Report   (Title, Abstract & Chapt   Word Counts   Character Counts   Total Pages Scanned	rt Details
(Signature of Guide/Sup The above document wa Copy Received on Report Generated on	ervisor) es scanned for plagiarisr Excluded • All Preliminary Pages • Bibliography/Ima ges/Quotes • 14 Words String	FOR LRC USE n check. The outcome Similarity Index (%) Submission ID	Signature of HOD     of the same is reported below:     Generated Plagiarism Report     (Title, Abstract & Chapt     Word Counts     Character Counts     Total Pages Scanned     File Size	rt Details ters)
(Signature of Guide/Sup The above document wa Copy Received on Report Generated on Checked by Name & Signature	ervisor) es scanned for plagiaris Excluded • All Preliminary Pages • Bibliography/Ima ges/Quotes • 14 Words String	FOR LRC USE n check. The outcome Similarity Index (%) Submission ID	Signature of HOD of the same is reported below: Generated Plagiarism Repor (Title, Abstract & Chapt Word Counts Character Counts Total Pages Scanned File Size	rt Details ters)
(Signature of Guide/Sup The above document wa Copy Received on Report Generated on Checked by Name & Signature Please send your comp	ervisor) es scanned for plagiarisr Excluded • All Preliminary Pages • Bibliography/Ima ges/Quotes • 14 Words String • 14 Words String	FOR LRC USE In check. The outcome Similarity Index (%) Submission ID	of the same is reported below:       Generated Plagiarism Report       (Title, Abstract & Chapt       Word Counts       Character Counts       Total Pages Scanned       File Size       Librarian	rt Details ters)